Joint Permit Application

This is a joint application, and must be sent to all agencies (Corps, DSL, and DEQ). Alternative forms of permit applications may be acceptable; contact the Corps and DSL for more information.

| | | | | | | | | Date Stamp | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|--------------|
| U.S Eng Por | . Army Corps gineers tland District | of | | Or De Sta | ego par ate | on rtment of Lands | | Oregon Department Environmen | of Ital |
| Action ID Number | | Num | ber | | | | | Quality | |
| (1) TYPE OF PEF | RMIT(S) IF KNO | WN (chec | k all th | at app | oly) | | | | |
| Corps: Individu | ual N ationwide N | o.: <u>29</u> | | Regi | onal | General Permit | | Other (specify): | |
| DSL: Individual | I 🔄 GP Trans 🔳 G | SP Min Wet | □GF | Main | t Dre | dge 🔲 GP Oc | ean Ene | ergy 🗌 No Permit 🔲 V | Vaiver |
| (2) APPLICANT | AND LANDOWN | ER CON | ГАСТ | INF | ORN | IATION | | | |
| | Applicant | | Prop | erty O | wner | r (if different) | Autho ■ Con | rized Agent (if applicab sultant | r le) |
| Name (Required) | Beau Wilson | | | | | | Eric H | lenning | |
| Business Name | | | | | | | Zion N | Natural Resources Con | sulting |
| | | | | | | | PO Bo | ox 545 | |
| Mailing Address | 4563 Swegle Roa | d NE | | | | | | | |
| City, State, Zip | Salem, OR 97301 | | | | | | Monm | outh OR 97361 | |
| Business Phone | 707-758-5129 | | | | | | 503-8 | 38-0103 | |
| Cell Phone | | | | | | | 503-8 | 81-4171 | |
| Fax | | | | | | | 503-6 | 23-7425 | |
| Email | bmwlsn99@gmail.com | | | | | | eric@ | zionconsulting.org | |
| (3) PROJECT INI | FORMATION | | | | | | | | |
| A. Provide the proje | ect location. | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name Swegle Road NE | | | | | | Latitude & Lon 44.9496 / -122 | <u>gitude*</u> 2.9731 | | |
| Project Address / Lo 4563 Swegle Road N | cation IE | City (near Salem | est) | | | | | County Marion | |
| Towns | ship | Range | | Sect | ion | Quarter / Qu | arter | Tax Lot | |
| 7S | 0.4- | 2W | | 19 |) | AD | | 3700 (portion of |) |
| From I-5 take exit 25 Silverton/Mt Angel. T | 6 toward OR-213 N urn right onto Sunn | . Turn left c yview Rd N | onto M IE. Tui | arket \$ rn righ | St NE | E. Turn left onto o 45th Ave NE. | o Lancas Turn le | ster Dr NE (OR-213) to ft onto Swegle Rd NE. | oward |
| B. What types of wa | terbodies or wetla | nds are pr | esent | in yo | ur pr | oject area? (C | heck all | that apply.) | |
| River / Stream | | Non-T | ■ Non-Tidal Wetland □ Lake / Reserv | | | Lake / Reservoir / F | ond | | |
| Estuary or Tidal Wetland Other | | | | | | | Pacific Ocean | | |
| Waterbody or Wetla Wetland A / West F Pudding River | and Name** Fork Little | River Mil | e | | <mark>6th F</mark> U pp Riv€ | Field HUC Name per Little Puddin er | <u>e</u> ig_ | 6th Field HUC (12 dig 170900090108 | <u>its)</u> |

* In decimal format (e.g., 44.9399, -123.0283) ** If there is no official name for the wetland or waterbody, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A").

| C. Indicate the project category. (Check all that apply.) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Commercial Development | Industrial Development | Residential Development | | | | |
| Institutional Development | Agricultural | Recreational | | | | |
| Transportation | Restoration | Bridge | | | | |
| | Utility lines | Survey or Sampling | | | | |
| In- or Over-Water Structure | Maintenance | Other: | | | | |
| (4) PROJECT DESCRIPTION | | | | | | |

A. Summarize the overall project including work in areas both in and outside of waters or wetlands.

The design of this proposed project requires removal and fill material within the designated project area to construct a 20'x24' storage building, concrete patio, and water quality treatment area. This plan permanently impacts 0.05 acres of wetlands and avoids 0.05 acres of wetlands. The Preferred Site Plan will have an approximate fill volume of 95 cubic yards and a removal volume of 2.2 cubic yards of material (rock, gravel, and topsoil) to complete the entire development.

B. Describe work within waters and wetlands.

Work within wetlands will result in 0.05 acres of wetland while avoiding the 0.05 acre remaining portion of wetland A which continues to the north connecting with the West Fork of the Little Pudding River. This plan proposes to avoid the West Fork of the Little Pudding River within the proposed project area. Designated wetland impact areas will have an approximate fill volume of 86 cubic yards and a removal volume of 1.5 cubic yards of material (rock, gravel, and topsoil). These impacts account for all grading activities required for construction.

C. Construction Methods. Describe how the removal and/or fill activities will be accomplished to minimize impacts to waters and wetlands.

Fill material will be transferred onsite from the surrounding uplands by means of trucks during the dry season to limit potential impacts to the remaining resources. Access to the site for construction activities will be from Swegle Road NE to the south.

Throughout construction, best management practices (BMP) will be used to minimize erosion and siltation associated with site runoff. Practicable erosion control measures may include but are not limited to silt fencing, bio bags, sediment collection basins, and gravel entryways installed prior to the commencement of construction. All BMPs will be properly maintained throughout the duration of the project to keep sediments from entering any wetlands and other waterways in the project vicinity. Following completion of construction, all disturbed areas will be stabilized and re-vegetated with an approved groundcover material. An erosion control plan and stormwater management plan have been prepared as part of the proposed development.

| (4) PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| D. Describe source of f | ill materi | al and dis | sposal loc | ations if kn | own | • | | | |
| Fill material will be util | ized onsi | te from tl | he subjec | t property a | as p | art of the s | site grading | . Crusł | ned rock will be |
| imported from a local s | source to | complet | e the dev | elopment r | equi | rements. | | | |
| E. Construction timelin | ie. | | | | | | | | |
| What is the estimated | project st | art date? | 1 | | Jan | uary 2023 | | | |
| What is the estimated r | oroiect cc | ompletion | date? | | Jan | uarv 2024 | | | |
| Is any of the work und | erwav or a | alreadv c | omplete? | | _ \ | <u>/</u> | | | |
| If yes, please describe. | · · · · · · | | | | | | NO | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| F. Removal Volumes a | nd Dimen | sions (if i | more than | 7 impact sit | es, ir | iclude a su | mmary table | e as an at | tachment) |
| | | Re | emoval Dir | mensions | | | Time | | |
| Wetland / Waterbody | Length | Width | Depth | Area | | Volume | Removal is to | Material*** | |
| Name | (ft.) | (ft.) | (ft.) | (sq.ft. or a | ic.) | (c.y.) | remain** | | |
| Wetland A | | | | 1,713 S | F | 1.5 | Permanent | | Topsoil |
| G. Total Removal Volu | mes and l | Dimensio | ns | | | | | | |
| Total Removal to Wetla | inds and | Other Wa | ters | | Ler | ngth (ft.) | Area (sq. ft | t or ac.) | Volume (c.y.) |
| Total Removal to Wetla | inds | | i | | | 1,713 SF | | SF | 1.5 |
| Total Removal Below O | ordinary H | ligh Wate | ŧ r | | | | | | |
| Total Removal Below | lighest M | easured] | <mark>lide</mark> | | | | | | |
| Total Removal Below | ligh Tide | <u>Line</u> | | | | | | | |
| Total Removal Below M | <u>lean High</u> | Water Ti | dal Elevat | tion | | | | | |
| H. Fill Volumes and Dir | nensions | ; (if more t | han 7 imp | act sites, inc | lude | a summar | y table as ar | 1 attachm | ent) |
| Wetland / Waterbody | | | Fill Dime | nsions | | | Time Fill | _ | |
| Name* | Length | Width | Depth Area | | , | Volume | is to remain** | Material*** | |
| Wetland A | (ft.) | (ft.) | (ft.) | (sq. ft. or a | ac.) F | (c.y.) 86 | Permanent | Topsoil rock concrete | |
| | | | | 1,7100 | | | 1 onnanona | | |
| (4) PROJECT DESCRIP | TION (CC | NTINUE |)) | | | | | | |
| I. Total Fill Volumes and | d Dimens | ions | | | | | | | |
| Total Fill to Wetlands and Other WatersLength (ft.)Area (sq. ft or ac.)Volume (delta) | | | | | Volume (c.y.) | | | | |
| Total Fill to Wetlands | | | | | 1,713 | SF | 86 | | |
| Total Fill Below Ordina | ry High W | /ater | | | | | | | |
| Total Fill Below <u>Highest Measured Tide</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Fill Below <u>High Tide Line</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Fill Below Wean F | lign wate | | evation | | | | | | |
| *If there is no official name for the wetland or waterbody, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A"). **Indicate whether the proposed area of removal or fill is permanent or, if you are proposing temporary impacts, specify the days, months or years the fill or removal is to remain. *** Example: soil, gravel, wood, concrete, pilings, rock etc. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

(5) PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

Provide a statement of the purpose and need for the overall project.

The purpose of this project is to add a secure storage shed, concrete patio, and required water treatment area to a residential lot with an existing single-family home. The proposed removal and fill would allow the placement of the shed and patio onto the side yard located in wetlands. The storage shed would produce the needed secure storage for the residential home, allowing the applicant to utilize their existing garage to protect their vehicles from increased vandalism and homelessness in this part of Salem. The City of Salem Police Department currently has three separate incident reports on file.

(6) DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCES IN PROJECT AREA

A. Describe the existing physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of each wetland or waterbody. Reference the wetland and waters delineation report if one is available. Include the list of items provided in the instructions.

The following wetland area information has been obtained from the Wetland Delineation Report that is currently being reviewed by DSL.

Wetland A (0.10 ac)

This palustrine emergent wetland area is located in the center of the study area within a residential side yard. These wetlands are of the slope/flat HGM classification. The wetland is approximately one foot below the existing grade of the adjacent roads and sidewalks. Side slopes to the west, south, and east are quite distinct with roughly 1:1 slopes. The wetlands are mowed during the growing season. Vegetation consists of mowed turf grasses and spots of *Juncus patens*. Hydrology appears to come from precipitation, groundwater, and occasional overbank flooding. This wetland is hydrologically connected to the West Fork of the Little Pudding River to the north but is not within a 100-year floodplain.

West Fork Little Pudding River (OHWM, 112 linear feet)

The ordinary high-water mark of the West Fork of the Little Pudding River was determined based on a distinct break in topography (channelized), minor scouring, and a change in sediment and vegetation. This channel originates from a stormwater culvert at the western property line and flows in a easterly direction through the study area. The hydrology appears to come from precipitation, groundwater hydrology, and channel flow during the wet season.

The following evaluates the functions and values of the proposed 0.05-acre wetland impacts, based on best professional judgement, which must be addressed under OAR 141-085-0685.

Hydrologic Function

Wetland A is tied hydrologically with the West Fork of the Little Pudding River that continues offsite to the east. These wetlands have a low capacity to support water storage and delay functions. The topography consists of a very gentle slope from the south to the north. The upland areas to the west, south and east are higher in topography and have been developed with residential streets and residential homes to the north and east. Hydrology inputs are restricted to precipitation and over bank flooding of the river. As a result the value of the wetlands is low related to hydrological functions.

Water Quality Support

The wetlands have a low capacity to support sediment retention and stabilization, phosphorus retention, and nitrate removal and retention. The wetlands are located within a side yard to a residence that is mowed on a regular basis. Surrounding properties to the south, west, and east consist of residential developments and improved residential streets with impervious surfaces and stormwater facilities. These wetlands are isolated from these impervious surfaces. Undeveloped areas to the north consist of residential yards. There are no groundwater drinking wells nearby and the area is not a DEQ designated groundwater risk area. The value of the wetlands are determined to be low related to water quality functions.

Fish Habitat

The wetlands currently do not have the capacity to support resident fish however the wetlands have a

seasonal surface water connections to a fish bearing stream. As a result the wetlands provide a limited function and value for fish habitat.

Aquatic Support

The wetlands have very limited capabilities to provide amphibian and reptile habitat, waterbird nesting habitat, and waterbird feeding habitat because ponding does not occur and no aquatic vegetation is present. The area is managed as a yard and does not contain woody debris. The function and value for aquatic support is low.

Ecosystem Support

The wetlands have a low capacity to provide invertebrate habitat; songbird, raptor, and mammal habitat; water cooling; native plant diversity; pollinator habitat; and organic nutrient export due to its dominance of managed turf grasses. The number and distribution of vegetative forms is low within the wetland and adjacent uplands. The wetlands do not support a rare plant species and the wetland is not a rare wetland type. Therefore, the function and value of the wetlands for ecosystem support is low.

Archeologic and Historic Resources

An archeological study has not been conducted for the project area.

B. Describe the existing navigation, fishing and recreational use of the waterbody or wetland.

There are no existing navigation, fishing, and/or recreational use of the wetlands.

(7) PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Describe project-specific criteria necessary to achieve the project purpose. Describe alternative sites and project designs that were considered to avoid or minimize impacts to the waterbody or wetland.*

Project specific criteria necessary to achieve the project purpose includes the following:

- Availability An available site is one that could be reasonably obtained, utilized, expanded, or managed to meet the project purpose.
- Meet City of Salem Building Codes
- Geographic Area Provide additional secure residential storage within the applicant's residential tax lot.

Offsite Alternatives

No other sites were considered, as the applicant already owns and lives on the tax lot within the project area.

Onsite Alternatives

Preferred Site Plan (Sheets 3 and 4):

This alternative impacts only 0.05 acres of wetlands and avoids 0.05 acres of wetlands and the West Fork of the Little Pudding River. The existing residential lot is encumbered by a 30' drainage and access easement associated with the river along the northern boundary (backyard of home). This has reduced the available upland area within the 0.34-acre residential lot. The position of the storage shed and patio within the tax lot is determined by the property line setbacks and City of Salem building codes. Fill material and wetland impacts required for the placement of a stacked concrete block wall, storage shed, patio, and water quality treatment area has been reduced only to the size needed by the applicant.

The preferred site design is considered to be the most practicable alternative based on

- Avoidance of 0.05 acres of wetlands and the West Fork of the Little Pudding River
- Provides secure storage adjacent to the applicant's residence in an area of Salem with documented incident reports.

Reduced Impact Alternative:

In this scenario the shed would be placed directly west and adjacent to the existing residential home. A reduced impact alternative would result in a reduction of the disturbance area based on the consolidation of the impacts directly west of the residence. However, according to the City of Salem the placement of the shed directly west of the house would not be allowed. Therefore, the applicant is proposing to place the storage shed approximately 60 feet from the existing home to meet the City of Salem building codes.

No Wetland Impact Alternative:

This plan would avoid the entire 0.10 acres of wetlands. Based on the drainage easement and the extent of the wetlands onsite the proposed improvements would not be possible. This alternative would therefore not meet the project criteria.

Measures to avoid and minimize effects of changes:

Based on the preferred site plan the applicant is proposing to avoid 0.05 acres of wetlands and the West Fork of the Little Pudding River. The proposed site plan also provides water quality treatment for the additional impervious surfaces.

| (8) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Are there state or federally listed species on the project site? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Is the project site within designated or proposed critical habitat? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Is the project site within a national Wild and Scenic River? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Is the project site within a <u>State Scenic Waterway</u> ? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Is the project site within the <u>100-year floodplain</u> ? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes to any above, explain in Block 6 and describe measures to minimize adverse | effects to t | those | resource | es in Block 7. |
| Is the project site within the <u>Territorial Sea Plan (TSP) Area</u> ? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes, attach TSP review as a separate document for DSL. | | | | |
| Is the project site within a designated Marine Reserve? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes, certain additional DSL restrictions will apply. | | | | |
| Will the overall project involve ground disturbance of one acre or more? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes, you may need a 1200-C permit from the Oregon Department of Environment | al Quality (E | DEQ). | | |
| Is the fill or dredged material a carrier of contaminants from on-site or off-site spills? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Has the fill or dredged material been physically and/or chemically tested? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes, explain in Block 6 and provide references to any physical/chemical testing r | eport(s). | | | |
| Has a cultural resource (archaeological and/or built environment) survey been performed on the project area? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| Do you have any additional archaeological or built environment documentation, or correspondence from tribes or the State Historic Preservation Office? | Yes | | No | Unknown |
| If yes, provide a copy of the survey and/or documentation of correspondence with describe any resources in this document. Do not provide the survey or documentation of correspondence with | this applica ation to DSL | ation 1 | to the Co | rps only. Do not |

* Not required by the Corps for a complete application, but is necessary for individual permits before a permit decision can be rendered.

| Is the project part of a DEQ Cle | eanup Site? No∎ Yes⊡ | Permit number | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| DEQ contact | | | | | | |
| Will the project result in new im | pervious surfaces or the re | edevelopment of existing | surfaces? Yes 🔳 No 🗆 | | | |
| If yes, the applicant must submit a WQC program for review and appro | post-construction stormwater val, see <u>https://www.oregon.g</u> e | management plan as part of ov/deq/FilterDocs/401wqcertPo | this application to DEQ's 401 <pre>stCon.pdf</pre> | | | |
| Identify any other federal agenc | y that is funding, authorizi | ng or implementing the pro | oject. | | | |
| Agency Name | Contact Name Phone Number Most Recent Date of Contact | | | | | |
| List other certificates or approve work described in this application | als/denials required or rec | eived from other federal, | state or local agencies for | | | |
| Agency | Certificate / approv | al / denial description | Date Applied | | | |
| Other DSL and/or Corps Action | s Associated with this Site | e (Check all that apply.) | | | | |
| Work proposed on or over la to 33 USC 408). These could dikes, dams, and other Corp | nds owned by or leased fr d include the federal navig s projects. | om the Corps (may requin ation channel, structures | re authorization pursuant , levees, real estate, | | | |
| State owned waterway | | DSL Waterway Lease | #: | | | |
| Other Corps or DSL Permits | | Corps # | DSL # | | | |
| Violation for Unauthorized Act | tivity | Corps # | DSL # | | | |
| Wetland and Waters Delinea | ation | Corps # | DSL # WD2023-0338 | | | |
| Submit the entire delineation re | port to the Corps; submit | only the concurrence lette | er (if complete) and approved | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (9) IMPACIS, RESIDRATIO | JN/REHABILITATION, | AND COMPENSATOR | | | | |
| permanent, temporary, direct, a | nd indirect impacts. | kely to result from the pro | posea project. Include | | | |
| The resulting development wou | uld permanently impact 0.0 | 05 acres of palustrine em | ergent wetlands. | | | |
| B. For temporary removal or fill areas, discuss how the site will | or disturbance of vegetation be restored after construc | on in waterbodies, wetland tion to include the timeline | ds or riparian (i.e., streamside) e for restoration. | | | |
| No temporary impacts propose | d. | | | | | |
| Compensatory Mitigation | | | | | | |
| C. Proposed mitigation approac | h. Check all that apply: | | | | | |
| Permittee responsible Permit Onsite Mitigation | tee responsible Mitig site Mitigation χ In-Lieu | ation Bank or Fee Program | Payment In-Lieu proved for use with Corps permits) | | | |

D. Provide a brief description of proposed mitigation approach and the rationale for choosing that approach. If you believe mitigation should not be required, explain why.

The onsite wetlands are seasonal and are currently undeveloped. Onsite mitigation was reviewed as part of the development plan; however, the avoided wetlands would not be a good candidate for enhancement due to the area available for mitigation.

By compensating for the impacts through a wetland mitigation bank the following principle objectives listed in 141-085-0680 (2) will be met based on the results of the functional assessment which indicates that the current wetlands onsite proposed for impact are low functioning and also low value largely due to surrounding developments. We therefore believe that purchase of wetland credits from a local bank will provide the lift necessary to meet the mitigation requirements.

- The bank will provide functions and values lost at the site which it has successfully provided within this watershed.
- The bank will provide local replacement for locally important functions and values within the same watershed.
- Purchase of mitigation bank credits supports the creation of wetlands that have been designed to be selfsustaining and require minimal long-term maintenance.
- The bank will ensure greater ecological suitability than onsite mitigation and would not provide connectivity to other similar habitats as what is present at the bank.
- The bank already contains mitigated wetlands and therefore the temporal loss would be considerably minimized vs. developing onsite or other offsite mitigation areas.

Mitigation Bank / In-Lieu Fee Information:

Name of mitigation bank or in-lieu fee project: Garret Creek Wetland Mitigation Bank

Type and amount of credits to be purchased: Palustrine Emergent (PEM) 0.05

If you are proposing permittee-responsible mitigation, have you prepared a compensatory mitigation plan? Yes. Submit the plan with this application and complete the remainder of this section.

□ No. A mitigation plan will need to be submitted (for DSL, this plan is required for a complete application).

Mitigation Location Information (Fill out only if permittee-responsible mitigation is proposed)

| miligation Eccation morniation (i in out only in permittee-responsible miligation is proposed) | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Mitigation Site Name/Legal Description | | Mitigation Site Address | | | Tax Lot # | | |
| County | | City | | Latitu DD.E | ude & Longitude (in)DDD format) | | |
| Township | Range | | Section | | Quarter/Quarter | | |

| (10) ADJACENT PROPERTY OW | NERS FOR PROJECT AND MITIGA | ATION SITE |
|---|---|------------|
| Pre-printed mailing labels of adjacent property owners attached separately (if more than 30). | | |
| LIEBERTZ, WILLIAM J IV 1776 AGUILAS CT NE SALEM, OR, 97301 | JOHNSON, JEFFREY L & CHONG S 1625 GEM PL NE SALEM, OR, 97305 | |
| CORIA, MANUEL & ESTELA 1645 45TH AVE NE SALEM, OR, 97301 | WILLIAMS, RAYMOND KENNETH & JUDY ANN 1655 GEM PL NE SALEM, OR, 97305 | |
| WATSON, DAVID J 4503 BADGER CORNER PL NE SALEM, OR, 97301 | QUIRING ST QUIRING, BEVERLY 1690 45TH AVE NE SALEM, OR, 97305 | |
| CABALLERO, ANGELICA S & CESAR S 4523 BADGER CORNER PL NE SALEM, OR, 97301 | QUIRING FAM TR QUIRING, BEVERLY 1670 45TH AVE NE SALEM, OR, 97305 | |

(11) CITY/COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT LAND USE AFFIDAVIT (TO BE COMPLETED BY LOCAL PLANNING OFFICIAL)

have reviewed the project described in this application and have determined that:

This project is not regulated by the comprehensive plan and land use regulations

This project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations

This project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations with the following:

Conditional Use Approval

Development Permit

Other Permit (explain in comment section below)

This project is not currently consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations. To be consistent requires:

Plan Amendment

Zone Change

Other Approval or Review (explain in comment section below)

An application or variance request <u>has \square has not \square been filed for the approvals required above.</u>

| Local planning official name (print) | Title | | City / County |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------|---------------|
| Signature | | Date | |
| Comments: | | | |

(12) COASTAL ZONE CERTIFICATION

If the proposed activity described in your permit application is within the <u>Oregon Coastal Zone</u>, the following certification is required before your application can be processed. The signed statement will be forwarded to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) for its concurrence or objection. For additional information on the Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program and consistency reviews of federally permitted projects, contact DLCD at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301 or call 503-373-0050 or click <u>here</u>.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the proposed activity described in this application complies with the approved Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program and will be completed in a manner consistent with the program.

| Print /Type Applicant Name | Title |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Applicant Signature | Date |

(13) SIGNATURES

Application is hereby made for the activities described herein. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities. By signing this application I consent to allow Corps or DSL staff to enter into the above-described property to inspect the project location and to determine compliance with an authorization, if granted. I hereby authorize the person identified in the authorized agent block below to act in my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish supplemental information in support of this permit application. I understand that the granting of other permits by local, county, state or federal agencies does not release me from the requirement of obtaining the permits requested before commencing the project. I understand that payment of the required state processing fee does not guarantee permit issuance. To be considered complete, the fee must accompany the application to DSL. The fee is not required for submittal of an application to the Corps.

| Fee Amount Enclosed | \$386.00 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant Signature (required) | Applicant Signature (required) must match the name in Block 2 | | | | | | |
| Print Name | | Title | | | | | |
| Beau Wilson | | Property Owner | | | | | |
| Signature | | Date | | | | | |
| olgilature | | Date | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Authorized Agent Signature | | | | | | | |
| Print Name | | Title | | | | | |
| Eric Henning | | ZNR, Managing Member | | | | | |
| Signature | | Date | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Landowner Signature(s) [*] | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Landowner of the Project Site (if different from app | licant) | | | | |
| Print Name | Title | | | | |
| Signature | Date | | | | |
| Landowner of the Mitigation Site (if different from a | applicant) | | | | |
| Print Name | Title | | | | |
| Signature | Date | | | | |
| Department of State Lands, Property Manager (to | be completed by DSL) | | | | |
| If the project is located on <u>state-owned submerged and submersible lands</u> , DSL staff will obtain a signature from the Land Management Division of DSL. A signature by DSL for activities proposed on state-owned submerged/submersible lands only grants the applicant consent to apply for a removal-fill permit. A signature for activities on state-owned submerged and submersible lands grants no other authority, express or implied and a separate proprietary authorization may be required. | | | | | |
| Print Name | Title | | | | |
| Signature | Date | | | | |

(14) ATTACHMENTS

| | Drawings |
|---|---|
| | Location map with roads identified |
| | ■ U.S.G.S topographic map |
| | ■ Tax lot map |
| | ■ Site plan(s) |
| | Plan view and cross section drawing(s) |
| | ■ Recent aerial photo |
| | Project photos |
| | ☐ Erosion and Pollution Control Plan(s), if applicable |
| | DSL / Corps Wetland Concurrence letter and map, if approved and applicable |
| | □ Pre-printed labels for adjacent property owners (Required if more than 30) |
| | ☐ Incumbency Certificate if applicant is a partnership or corporation |
| | Restoration plan or rehabilitation plan for temporary impacts |
| | Mitigation plan |
| | Wetland functional assessments, if applicable |
| | □ Cover Page |
| | □ Score Sheets |
| | ORWAP OR, F, T, & S forms |
| | ORWAP Reports |
| | □ Assessment Maps |
| | ORWAP Reports: Soils, Topo, Assessment area, Contributing area |
| | Stream Functional Assessments, if applicable |
| | Cover Page |
| | □ Score Sheets |
| | 🗌 SFAM PA, PAA, & EAA forms |
| | □ SFAM Report |
| | ☐ Assessment Maps |
| | ☐ Aerial Photo Site Map and Topo Site Map (Both maps should document the PA, PAA, & EAA) |
| | Compensatory Mitigation (CM) Eligibility & Accounting Worksheet |
| | ☐ Matching Quickguide sheet(s) |
| | CM Eligibility & Accounting sheet |
| | Alternatives analysis |
| | ☐ Biological assessment (if requested by the Corps project manager during pre-application coordination) |
| | □ Stormwater management plan (may be required by the Corps or DEQ) |
| | □ Other |
| | □ Please describe: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |

For U.S. Army Corps of Engineers send application to:

USACE Portland District ATTN: CENWP-ODG-P PO Box 2946 Portland, OR 97208-2946 Phone: 503-808-4373 portlandpermits@usace.army.mil

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ATTN: CENWP-ODG-E 211 E. 7th AVE, Suite 105 Eugene, OR 97401-2722 Phone: 541-465-6868 portlandpermits@usace.army.mil

Counties:

Baker, Benton, Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Gilliam, Grant, Hood River, Jefferson, Lincoln, Linn, Malheur, Marion, Morrow, Multnomah, Polk, Sherman, Tillamook, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, Wasco, Washington, Wheeler, Yamhill

Counties:

Coos, Crook, Curry, Deschutes, Douglas, Jackson, Josephine, Harney, Klamath, Lake, Lane

For Department of State Lands send application to:

West of the Cascades:

Department of State Lands 775 Summer Street NE, Ste 100 Salem, OR 97301-1279 Phone: 503-986-5200 https://www.oregon.gov/dsl/WW/Documents/uploa dinstructions_removalfill.pdf

East of the Cascades:

Department of State Lands 951 SW Simpson Ave, Ste 104 Bend, OR 97702 Phone: 541-388-6112 https://www.oregon.gov/dsl/WW/Documents/uploadinstr uctions_removalfill.pdf

For Department of Environmental Quality:

Submit all application materials electronically through Your DEQ Online.

For questions related to *Your DEQ Online*, please visit the <u>Your DEQ Online help page</u>, email <u>YourDEQOnline@deg.state.or.us</u>, or call 503-229-6184





ZION Natural Resources Consulting



ZION Natural Resources Consulting



ZION Natural Resources Consulting







FIGURE 6 - WETLAND MAP

Client: Beau Wilson Project: 4563 Swegle Road NE Tax Map: T7S-R2W-Sec. 19AD-Tax Lot 3700 (portion) July 2023

Legend

 $\langle / / \rangle$

•

 \rightarrow

| Wetland Area 0.10 ac | Study Area 0.20 ac |
|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Sample Plot | OHWM |
| Photo Point | Tax Lots Marion County |







210 210 R/√ **WETLANDS** FINISHED GRADE 205 205 (SHED SLAB) SH:1 200 200 EXISTING EXISTING GRADE SIDEWALK 195 195 =G (201.36) FG (201.78) EG 199.6 EG 199.9 () Đ \sim 0 E Ċ С 0+500+750+00**SECTION A STA: 0+00 TO 0+75** HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1'' = 30'VERTICAL SCALE: 1'' = 6'





Cascades, LLC

CIVIL DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING

GRE

Ξ

SHEET #:

4

CROSS SECTIONS

PROJECT:

4563 SWEGLE ROAD PREPARED FOR:

BEAU WILSON SITUATED IN:

SALEM, OREGON

SCALE: AS INDICATED

DATE: 09-27-2023 DRAWN BY: M.S.R. PROJECT#: 2301-23

