



Mail Processing Center  
Federal Aviation Administration  
Southwest Regional Office  
Obstruction Evaluation Group  
10101 Hillwood Parkway  
Fort Worth, TX 76177

Aeronautical Study No.  
2022-ANM-8744-OE

Issued Date: 03/30/2023

Todd Boyce  
Westwood Homes  
12700 NW Cornell Rd  
Portland, OR 97229

**\*\* DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION \*\* (CORRECTION)**

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Multi-unit Housing Building 6
Location:	Salem, OR
Latitude:	44-53-12.91N NAD 83
Longitude:	123-00-40.96W
Heights:	390 feet site elevation (SE) 38 feet above ground level (AGL) 428 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure would have no substantial adverse effect on the safe and efficient utilization of the navigable airspace by aircraft or on the operation of air navigation facilities. Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, it is hereby determined that the structure would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s) is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be e-filed any time the project is abandoned or:

- ☐ At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part 1)  
☒ Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part 2)

See attachment for additional condition(s) or information.

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 M.

The structure considered under this study lies in proximity to an airport and occupants may be subjected to noise from aircraft operating to and from the airport.

This determination expires on 09/30/2024 unless:

- (a) the construction is started (not necessarily completed) and FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, is received by this office.
- (b) extended, revised, or terminated by the issuing office.
- (c) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.

**NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.**

This determination is subject to review if an interested party files a petition that is received by the FAA on or before April 29, 2023. In the event an interested party files a petition for review, it must contain a full statement of the basis upon which the petition is made. Petitions can be submitted to the Manager of the Rules and Regulations Group via e-mail at [OEPetitions@faa.gov](mailto:OEPetitions@faa.gov), via mail to Federal Aviation Administration, Air Traffic Organization, Rules and Regulations Group, Room 425, 800 Independence Ave, SW, Washington, DC 20591, or via facsimile (202) 267-9328. FAA encourages the use of email to ensure timely processing.

This determination becomes final on May 09, 2023 unless a petition is timely filed. In which case, this determination will not become final pending disposition of the petition. Interested parties will be notified of the grant of any review. For any questions regarding your petition, please contact Rules and Regulations Group via telephone – 202-267-8783.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights and frequencies or use of greater power, except those frequencies specified in the Colo Void Clause Coalition; Antenna System Co-Location; Voluntary Best Practices, effective 21 Nov 2007, will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA. This determination includes all previously filed frequencies and power for this structure.

If construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed, you must submit notice to the FAA within 5 days after the construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

This aeronautical study considered and analyzed the impact on existing and proposed arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under both visual flight rules and instrument flight rules; the impact on all existing and planned public-use airports, military airports and aeronautical facilities; and the cumulative impact resulting from the studied structure when combined with the impact of other existing or proposed

structures. The study disclosed that the described structure would have no substantial adverse effect on air navigation.

An account of the study findings, aeronautical objections received by the FAA during the study (if any), and the basis for the FAA's decision in this matter can be found on the following page(s).

If we can be of further assistance, please contact Paul Holmquist, at (206) 231-2990, or paul.holmquist@faa.gov. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2022-ANM-8744-OE.

**Signature Control No: 561930396-578506180**

( DNH )

Mike Helvey

Manager, Obstruction Evaluation Group

Attachment(s)

Additional Information

Map(s)

## Additional information for ASN 2022-ANM-8744-OE

### Abbreviations

AGL - above ground level

AMSL - above mean sea level

RWY - runway

VFR - visual flight rules

IFR - instrument flight rules

NM - nautical mile

ASN- Aeronautical Study Number

CAT - category aircraft

MDA - minimum descent altitude

DA - decision altitude

Part 77 - Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 77, Safe, Efficient Use and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace

### 1. LOCATION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

19 studies were evaluated by the FAA for a proposed housing project near McNary Field Airport (SLE), Salem, OR. All 19 studies were found to exceed Part 77 standards. Of these, the closest study point, ASN 2023-ANM-8748-OE, would be approximately 1.38 NM south southwest of airport reference point for McNary Field Airport, Salem, OR. The SLE airport elevation is 213 feet AMSL. Separate determinations for each study can be found at the OE/AAA website (<http://oeaaa.faa.gov>).

The 19 study locations were assigned the following Aeronautical Study Numbers (ASNs) and are described as follows:

ASN	Structure Name	AGL/AMSL	Latitude / Longitude
2022-ANM-8739-OE	Building 1	20 / 430	44-53-11.91N / 123-00-38.49W
2022-ANM-8740-OE	Building 2	30 / 430	44-53-10.47N / 123-00-38.49W
2022-ANM-8741-OE	Building 3	37 / 430	44-53-08.85N / 123-00-38.74W
2022-ANM-8742-OE	Building 4	27 / 423	44-53-10.14N / 123-00-40.43W
2022-ANM-8743-OE	Building 5	29 / 429	44-53-11.25N / 123-00-40.38W
2022-ANM-8744-OE	Building 6	38 / 428	44-53-12.91N / 123-00-40.96W
2022-ANM-8745-OE	Building 7	35 / 425	44-53-13.88N / 123-00-41.03W
2022-ANM-8746-OE	Building 8	41 / 429	44-53-11.21N / 123-00-41.83W
2022-ANM-8747-OE	Building 9	41 / 421	44-53-12.23N / 123-00-42.13W
2022-ANM-8748-OE	Building 10	51 / 421	44-53-15.27N / 123-00-42.45W
2022-ANM-8749-OE	Building 11	41 / 407	44-53-14.12N / 123-00-43.68W
2022-ANM-8750-OE	Building 12	41 / 405	44-53-12.86N / 123-00-44.13W
2022-ANM-8751-OE	Building 13	40 / 408	44-53-11.54N / 123-00-43.93W
2022-ANM-8752-OE	Building 14	41 / 413	44-53-10.28N / 123-00-43.39W
2022-ANM-8753-OE	Building 15	41 / 423	44-53-09.26N / 123-00-42.18W
2022-ANM-8754-OE	Building 16	40 / 426	44-53-08.31N / 123-00-40.86W
2022-ANM-8755-OE	Building 17	37 / 412	44-53-07.51N / 123-00-42.94W
2022-ANM-8756-OE	Building 18	40 / 430	44-53-07.34N / 123-00-38.34W
2022-ANM-8757-OE	Building 19	40 / 424	44-53-06.14N / 123-00-40.69W

### 2. OBSTRUCTION STANDARDS EXCEEDED

The structures are identified as an obstruction under the following Part 77 standards:

a. Section 77.19(a): Horizontal Surface-a height exceeding a horizontal plane 150 feet above the established airport elevation. The 19 studies exceed the SLE Horizontal Surface by the following:

ASN	Exceeds by (feet)
2022-ANM-8739-OE:	67
2022-ANM-8740-OE:	67
2022-ANM-8741-OE:	67
2022-ANM-8742-OE:	60
2022-ANM-8743-OE:	66
2022-ANM-8744-OE:	65
2022-ANM-8745-OE:	62
2022-ANM-8746-OE:	66
2022-ANM-8747-OE:	58
2022-ANM-8748-OE:	58
2022-ANM-8749-OE:	44
2022-ANM-8750-OE:	42
2022-ANM-8751-OE:	45
2022-ANM-8752-OE:	50
2022-ANM-8753-OE:	60
2022-ANM-8754-OE:	63
2022-ANM-8755-OE:	49
2022-ANM-8756-OE:	67
2022-ANM-8757-OE:	61

### 3. EFFECT ON AERONAUTICAL OPERATIONS

a. a. The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under VFR: The project would penetrate the SLE Horizontal Surface by a maximum of 67 feet where the terrain exceeds by 47 feet.

Effects on the VFR Traffic Pattern Airspace (TPA): This proposed project would exceed the SLE VFR TPA in the Part 77 Conical Surface by a maximum of 32 feet where the terrain also exceeds by 18 feet, as defined in FAA Order 7400.2, 6-3-8, Evaluating Effect on VFR Operations.

There are no effects on any existing or proposed arrival, departure, or en route IFR/VFR minimum flight altitudes.

There are no physical or electromagnetic effects on the operation of air navigation and communications facilities

There are no effects on any airspace and routes used by the military.

b. The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under IFR: None.

c. The cumulative impact of the proposed structures, when combined with other proposed and existing structures, is not considered to be significant. Study did not disclose any significant adverse effect on existing or proposed public-use or military airports or navigational facilities, nor would the proposals affect the capacity of any known existing or planned public-use or military airport.

The SLE Airport Master Record can be viewed/downloaded at <https://adip.faa.gov/agis/public/#/airportData/SLE> . It states there are 141 single-engine, 10 multi-engine, 6 jet, 8 helicopter, 19 military, 0 ultra- light

and 2 glider aircraft based there with 39,823 operations for the 12 months ending 31 December 2019 (latest information).

### 3. CIRCULATION AND COMMENTS RECEIVED

The proposal was not circularized for public aeronautical comment due to an internal FAA evaluation. This does not affect the public's right to petition for review determinations regarding structures, which exceed the subject obstruction standards.

### 4. DETERMINATION - NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION

It is determined that the proposed construction would not have a substantial adverse effect on the safe and efficient utilization of the navigable airspace by aircraft or on any air navigation facility and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the conditions set forth in this determination are met.

### 5. BASIS FOR DECISION

Study for possible effect disclosed that the proposed structure would not have a substantial adverse effect on any existing or proposed arrival or departure VFR operation or procedure. The proposed project would exceed the SLE Part 77 Horizontal Surface by a maximum of 67 feet where the terrain exceeds by 47 feet and the VFR TPA in the Part 77 Conical Surface by maximum of 32 feet where the terrain also exceeds by 18 feet.

The proposal is located on the back side of sloped terrain where there is higher or equivalent terrain height between the project and the airport. There would be no derogation of the navigable airspace overlying the site. Existing obstacles and terrain control the development of future approach and departure instrument Terminal Procedures at SLE. No other VFR effects were identified and there are no IFR effects. There are no physical or electromagnetic effects on the operation of air navigation and communications facilities and there are no effects on any airspace and routes used by the military. The incorporation of obstruction marking and lighting was considered but not deemed necessary.

### 6. CONDITIONS

Within five days after the structure reaches its greatest height, proponent is required to file a FAA form 7460-2, Actual Construction notification, at the OE/AAA website (<http://oeaaa.faa.gov>). This Actual Construction notification will be the source document detailing the site location, site elevation, structure height, and date structure was built for the FAA to map the structure on aeronautical charts and update the national obstruction database.





