



555 Liberty Street SE / Room 305 • Salem OR 97301-3503 • Phone 503-588-6213 • Fax 503-588-6005
www.cityofsalem.net/planning • www.cityofsalem.net

December 17, 2021
Updated February 25, 2022

PLANNING REVIEW CHECKLIST

Subject Property: 3400 Block of Boone Road SE
Ref#: 21-121613-ZO (Conditional Use Permit)
21-121614-RP (Class 3 Site Plan Review)
~~21-121615-DR (Class 2 Design Review, mistake)~~
21-121616-ZO (Class 2 Driveway Approach Permit)
21-121618-ZO (Class 2 Adjustment)
22-103391-DR (Class 1 Design Review)

Applicant: John Eld
MWSH Boone Road
3425 Boone Road SE
Salem, OR 97317
Phone:
Email: jeld@livebsl.com

Contact: Brandie Dalton
Multi-tech Engineering, Inc.
1155 13th Street SE
Salem, OR 97302
Phone: (503) 363-9227
Email: bdalton@mtengineering.net

A consolidated application for a Conditional Use Permit, Class 3 Site Plan Review, Class 2 Design Review, Class 2 Driveway Approach Permit, and Class 2 Adjustment was received on November 22, 2021 for property located at the 3400 Block of Boone Road SE.

The following information is required for staff to deem the applications complete.

Item:	
Class 1 Design Review Application Required – SRC 225.005 Done	The applicant submitted a Class 2 Design Review application; however, for Multi-Family Residential Development, SRC Chapter 702 provides a list of applicable design standards. If a design standard cannot be met, an adjustment to the standard is allowed. Class 2 Design Review is not required. Please withdraw the Class 2 Design Review application and request a Class 1 Design Review. Application fees may be transferred and refunded. Fee: Class 1 DR = \$632.00

	<p>Class 2 DR = \$1,854.00</p> <p>Applicant is eligible for a refund of \$1,222.00.</p>
<p>Neighborhood Association Contact – SRC 300.310</p> <p>Done</p>	<p>Submittal of a Conditional Use Permit, Class 3 Site Plan Review, Class 2 Adjustment, Class 2 Driveway Approach Permit and Class 1 Design Review application requires Neighborhood Association Contact pursuant to SRC 300.310. Please provide evidence demonstrating that neighborhood contact, meeting applicable requirements of SRC 300.310, has been made.</p>
<p>Tree Removal, Inventory, Removal of Significant Trees – SRC 808.015</p> <p>Not Addressed</p>	<p>SRC 808.015 provides that no person shall remove a significant tree, unless the removal is undertaken pursuant to a tree and vegetation removal permit issued under SRC 808.030, undertaken pursuant to a tree conservation plan approved under SRC 808.035, or undertaken pursuant to a tree variance granted under SRC 808.045.</p> <p>There are 27 significant trees shown on the existing conditions plan dated August 2020, with 16 significant trees proposed for removal. Please provide an updated existing conditions plan reflecting the current conditions of the property (within the last six months). Removal of significant trees requires a tree variance under SRC 808.045.</p> <p><i>A Tree Variance Application has not been submitted. The existing conditions plan no longer includes existing trees proposed for removal. Please provide an updated existing conditions plan reflecting the current conditions of the property (within the last six months).</i></p>
<p>Street Connectivity – SRC Chapter 803 and PAR19-12</p> <p>Okay, See Subdivision Application</p>	<p>A second street connection required along south/east end per SRC 803 and PAR19-12. Please revise the site plan to provide the required street connection. Because street connectivity is a condition of approval from PAR19-12, alternative street standards alone cannot remove the requirement for street connectivity. A modification of Condition 24 from PAR19-12 would be required, in addition to an alternative street standard request to remove the street connectivity standard from this request. Because removal of the street connection required by PAR19-12 may constitute a substantial change from the original approval, a modification of Condition 24 may not meet the approval criteria outline in SRC 205.070.</p>

CPC/ZC17-02 – Conditions of Approval, Trip Cap Okay, see TIA	The proposed development is subject to a limitation on vehicle trips pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment 17-02. The applicant shall include an assessment of all proposed uses on the site versus the trip limitation.
Trip Generation Estimate Form – SRC 220.005(e)(1)(D) Done	A Trip Generation Estimate (TGE) is required as part of the submittal packet pursuant to SRC 220.005(e)(1)(D).
Geotechnical Report – SRC 220.005(e)(2)(H) Done	The subject property is located in a moderate landslide hazard area and requires a geological assessment prepared by a certified engineering geologist. The applicant's geotechnical report does not appear to include a geologist's stamp.

The following items are not listed in the SRC as specific requirements for a complete application; however, the applicant should be aware that after review of the application materials the following deficiencies have been identified which could result in a recommendation for denial of the applications if not properly addressed.

Item:	
Minimum Setbacks, IC (Industrial Commercial) Zone – SRC 551 Not Addressed	<p><i>Interior setbacks:</i> In the IC (Industrial Commercial) zone, multi-family uses and vehicle use areas require a minimum 15 foot setback adjacent to an interior side/rear property line pursuant to Table 551-3.</p> <p>The proposed site plan shows a setback of approximately 10 feet to the interior lot line abutting Interstate 5 (Interstate 5 is not classified as a street), and a setback of approximately 10 feet for Buildings 1 and 2, and the adjacent off-street parking area. Please adjust the site plan to comply with all applicable setback requirements of the IC zone.</p> <p><i>ODOT ROW dedication area was removed from the site plan.</i></p> <p><i>Vehicle Use Area Setback abutting a street:</i> The IC zone requires a minimum 10-foot vehicle use area setback adjacent to a street. Three of the townhouse buildings show a driveway encroaching into the minimum</p>

	<p>setback area. Please adjust the site plan to remove the driveway from the minimum setback area.</p> <p><i>Okay.</i></p>
<p>Multi-Family Design Review Standards – SRC Chapter 702</p> <p>Not Addressed</p>	<p>Design Issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per SRC 702.020(a)(1)(A), to ensure usable open space that is of sufficient size, at least one common open space area shall be provided that meets the size and dimension standards set forth in Table 702-3. <i>The proposed multi-family apartment complex is split into two separate areas by dedication of an internal street. The east side contains 150-units, requiring a minimum open space area 2,750 square feet in size. The west side contains 122-units, requiring a minimum open space area 2,250 square feet in size. Please revise the site plan so both sides of the complex comply with the common open space requirements of SRC Chapter 702.</i> <p><i>Okay.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per SRC 702.020(a)(1)(B), a maximum of 15 percent of open space area can be on slopes exceeding 25 percent. <i>Please revise page SDR4 to identify all open space areas on slopes exceeding 25 percent. Page SDR4 also incorrectly identifies required building setbacks which may impact the open space totals in the summary table.</i> <p><i>Revised plans show that open space areas with slopes greater than 25 percent exceed the 15 percent maximum standard (29% for west site, 56% for east site), Adjustment required.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per SRC 702.020(b)(1), a minimum of one tree shall be planted or preserved for every 2,000 square feet of gross site area. <i>Please include a finding and summary table indicating the total number of trees preserved and total trees proposed on the landscape plan, indicating compliance with this standard.</i>

	<p style="color: red; text-align: center;"><i>Okay.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per SRC 702.020(b)(2), additional landscaping and fencing is required adjacent to RA zoned property. <i>The property abuts RA zoned property. Please revise the site plan and landscape plan to comply with screening requirements of this section or request an Adjustment to the screening standard. Staff cannot condition approval based on potential zoning updates with the Our Salem project.</i> <p style="color: red; text-align: center;"><i>Okay.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SRC 702.020(b)(7)(B) requires a landscape planter bay a minimum of nine feet in width provided at a minimum spacing of one for every 12 spaces. <i>The east side of the development site shows two areas where more than 12 spaces are provided without a landscape planter.</i> <p style="color: red; text-align: center;"><i>Okay.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SRC 702.020(c)(1) requires windows to be provided in all habitable rooms, other than bathrooms, on each wall that faces common open space, parking areas, and pedestrian paths. <i>Windows are not provided in habitable rooms at the end elevations for Building D. Please revise the building design to include windows on each wall in all habitable rooms other than bathrooms.</i> <p style="color: red; text-align: center;"><i>Okay.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SRC 702.020(d)(4) requires that safe pedestrian access is provided throughout a development site connecting to and between buildings, common open space, and parking areas, and that connect the development to the public sidewalks. <i>The proposed site plan lacks pedestrian connectivity. Pedestrian connections should be provided from Buildings 7 and 8 to the solid waste service area, and from Buildings 1-4 to the sports</i>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p><i>court and tot lot open space areas on the same site. In addition, the east and west sites are separated from each other by a proposed public street that lacks pedestrian crossings. Please provide mid-block pedestrian crossings to provide a safe route for residents of both sites to access common facilities from both sites.</i></p> <p><i>Adjustment required to use interior building corridor as common pathway through the site.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SRC 702.020(e)(2) provides that where a development site abuts property zoned RA, buildings shall be setback from the abutting RA zoned property as set forth in Table 702.5 to provide appropriate transitions between new buildings and structures on site and existing buildings and structures on abutting sites. Buildings two or more stories in height require a minimum 1-foot setback for each 1 foot of building height, but in no case less than 20 feet. <p><i>The proposed townhouse buildings along the southern boundary of the property abut RA zoned property. The buildings are approximately 37 feet in height (actual height needs to be verified by applicant), requiring a setback of 37 feet, the townhomes are setback approximately 20 feet. Please revise the site plan to comply with minimum setback requirements or request an Adjustment to the minimum setback. Staff cannot condition approval based on potential zoning updates with the Our Salem project.</i></p> <p><i>Adjustment Requested.</i></p>
Solid Waste Service Area Standards – SRC 800.055 Okay	<p>A solid waste service area does not seem to be provided on the west side of the development site.</p>
Perimeter Setbacks and Landscaping Requirement – SRC 806.035(c)(4)	<p>Per SRC 806.035(c)(4), where an off-street parking or vehicular use area is located adjacent to the exterior wall of a building or structure, the off-street parking or vehicle use area shall be setback from the exterior wall of the building or</p>

<p>Done</p>	<p>structure by a minimum 5-foot wide landscape strip or five-foot-wide paved pedestrian pathway.</p> <p>Please provide a minimum five-foot separation between the proposed garage buildings and adjacent off-street parking spaces, all three garage buildings have conflicts.</p>
<p>Amount of Off-Street Loading – SRC 806.075, Table 806-9</p> <p>Done</p>	<p>Per SRC 806.075, off-street loading shall be provided in amounts not less than those set forth in Table 806-9.</p> <p>The proposed multi-family apartment complex is split into two separate areas by dedication of an internal street. The east side contains 150-units, requiring a minimum of two loading spaces. Two loading spaces are provided on the east side. The west side contains 122-units, requiring a minimum of two loading spaces. One off-street loading spaces are provided on west side. Please revise the site plan to comply with the minimum off-street loading standard, or request and adjustment to the amount of required off-street loading spaces.</p>
<p>Land Division</p> <p>Okay</p>	<p>The combined application does not include a partition or subdivision but does propose dedication of right-of-way that splits the subject property into five distinct pieces. Dedication of right-of-way does not legally divide the subject property into separate lots. Staff recommends that the applicant submit a subdivision to further divide the subject property.</p> <p><i>Subdivision submitted, okay.</i></p>
<p>Airport Overlay Zone – SRC Chapter 602</p> <p>Okay</p>	<p>The subject property is located within the Horizontal Surface of the Airport Overlay Zone. In the Horizontal Area, no building, structure, object, or vegetative growth shall have a height greater than that established by a horizontal plane 150 feet above the airport elevation (210 feet above mean sea level). The property itself currently exceeds 360 feet above mean sea level, an Airport Overlay Zone Height Variance is required prior to building permit issuance.</p>
<p>Stormwater</p> <p>Not addressed. Comments sent to Engineer</p>	<p>The stormwater report does not adequately address water quality and flow control requirements for 4 acres of the site (Basin B1). There is not enough information included in this report to determine that the project has designated enough space to meet the stormwater requirements.</p> <p><i>The stormwater report indicates the project is not subject to Ordinance 8-20, which became effective on October 28th,</i></p>



555 Liberty Street SE / Room 305 • Salem OR 97301-3503 • Phone 503-588-6213 • Fax 503-588-6005
www.cityofsalem.net/planning • www.cityofsalem.net

	2020. We believe that the site is required to comply with the ordinance, however; are open to reviewing any additional information you can provide as to why it does not apply to this project. Please note that Condition 20 of Phase 1 for the apartments reads: <i>Design and construct stormwater facilities in accordance with Ordinance 8-20, and in compliance with SRC Chapter 71 and Public Works Design Standards (CU-SPR-ADJ-DAP-DR21-02).</i>
Transportation Impact Analysis Not addressed. Comments sent to Engineer.	The applicant's TIA does not provide mitigation measures and includes assumptions that need to be revised. The Applicant's Traffic Engineer is encouraged to continue to work with Assistant City Traffic Engineer, Tony Martin. An updated TIA is necessary to identify development impacts and conditions of approval.

Your application, which is incomplete, will be deemed complete upon receipt of one of the following:

- (1) All of the missing information.
- (2) Some of the missing information and written notice from you (the applicant) that no other information will be provided.
- (3) Written notice from you (the applicant) that none of the missing information will be provided.

You have 180 days from the date the application was first submitted to respond in one of the three ways listed above, or the application will be deemed void.

For questions regarding the above requirements, feel free to contact me directly by calling (503) 540-2356 or via email at apanko@cityofsalem.net. The Salem Revised Code may be accessed by clicking [HERE](#).

Sincerely,

Aaron Panko, Planner III