Joint Permit Application

This is a joint application, and must be sent to all agencies (Corps, DSL, and DEQ). Alternative forms of permit applications may be acceptable; contact the Corps and DSL for more information.

Received by City of Salem Comm. Development Dept. Sept. 7, 2021 21 116825 00 ZO Date Stamp

U.S. / Engine Porti	Army Corps on neers and District	of	E LANDS	Ore Dep Sta	ego par ite	on tment of Lands	DE		Oregon Department of Environmental
Action ID Number		Nur	mber						Quality
(1) TYPE OF PER	RMIT(S) IF KNO	WN (che	eck all t	hat ap	oply)				
Corps: 🗌 Individual	Nationwide No.:	<u> 14 </u>	Regio	onal G	enei	ral Permit] Oth	er (specify):
DSL: 🛛 Individual 🗌] GP Trans 🗌 GP I	Min Wet	_ GP I	Maint I	Drec	dge 🗌 GP Oce	an Ene	rgy [🗌 No Permit 🗌 Waiver
(2) APPLICANT A		ER CO	NTAC	T INF	=OF	MATION			
	Applicant		Prope	erty Ov	wner	(if different)	Autho	orize onsul	d Agent (if applicable) tant
Name (Required)	Kelley Hamilton						Eric	Hen	ning
Business Name	Devon Property L	LC					Zion	Natu	ral Resources Consulting
Mailing Address 1	3425 Boone Rd S	E					PO E	Box 8	545
Mailing Address 2									
City, State, Zip	Salem, OR 97317						Mon	mou	th, OR 97361
Business Phone	503-373-3161						503-	881-	4171
Cell Phone									
Fax									
Email	Jeld@livebsl.com						Eric	@zio	nconsulting.org
(3) PROJECT INF	ORMATION								
A. Provide the proje	ct location.								
Project Name						Latitude & Lon	gitude*		
Devon Estates – Cr	nampion Swale C	rossing	ore et)			44.8598 / -12	3.046	8	
Lone Oak Road RC	W & 6719	Salem	nearest) N			Ма	rion		
Towns	hip	Ranç	ge	Section	on	Quarter / Qua	arter		Tax Lot
8S		30	/	22		СВ			Right-of-Way
8S	Otto	30	/	22	22 C				300
I-5 south to Exit 248, right on Delaney Rd SE, right onto Sunnyside Rd SE, left onto Rees Hill Rd SE, right onto Devon Ave SE, left onto Sahalee Drive SE, left on Lone Oak Road to the site.									
B. What types of waterbodies or wetlands are present in your project area? (Check all that apply.)							hat apply.)		
River / Stream		🗆 Non	-Tidal \	Wetla	Ind			Lake / Reservoir / Pond	
Estuary or Tidal	Wetland	Othe	er						Pacific Ocean
Waterbody or Wetla	and Name**	River N	/ile		6 th F	ield HUC Name	9	6th	Field HUC (12 digits)
Champion Swale			McKinney Creek			170900070203			

* In decimal format (e.g., 44.9399, -123.0283)

** If there is no official name for the wetland or waterbody, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A").

C. Indicate the project category. (Check all that apply.)					
Commercial Development	Industrial Development	Residential Development			
Institutional Development	Agricultural	Recreational			
Transportation	Restoration	Bridge			
	Utility lines	Survey or Sampling			
□ In- or Over-Water Structure	Maintenance	Other:			
(4) PROJECT DESCRIPTION					

A. Summarize the overall project including work in areas both in and outside of waters or wetlands.

The design of this proposed project requires removal and fill material within the designated project area to construct a residential street. This will provide a second access and a sewer connection to 89 subdivision lots to the southeast (Devon Estates). This includes permanently impacting 143 linear feet of waters by placing it in a 36-inch diameter 96-foot-long reinforced concrete pipe. The extension of Lone Oak Road SE will consist of an 85-foot-wide residential street with public sidewalks. The base of the road will be stabilized behind a retaining wall to reduce impacts to the remaining creek channel.

B. Describe work within waters and wetlands.

Work within waters will result in 143 linear feet or 1,050 square feet of total impacts. The designated stream impact area will have an approximate fill volume of 667 cubic yards and a removal volume of 23 cubic yards of material (rock, gravel, and topsoil). This impact is for the placement and continuation of Lone Oak Road SE to provide access to the proposed Devon Estates to the southeast.

C. Construction Methods. Describe how the removal and/or fill activities will be accomplished to minimize impacts to waters and wetlands.

Fill material will be transferred onsite from the surrounding uplands by means of trucks during the dry season to limit potential impacts to the remaining resources. Access to the site for construction activities will be from Lone Oak Road SE.

Throughout construction, best management practices (BMP) will be used to minimize erosion and siltation associated with site runoff. Practicable erosion control measures may include but are not limited to silt fencing, bio bags, sediment collection basins, and gravel entryways installed prior to the commencement of construction. All BMPs will be properly maintained throughout the duration of the project to keep sediments from entering any wetlands and other waterways in the project vicinity. Following completion of construction, all disturbed areas will be stabilized and re-vegetated with an approved groundcover material. An erosion control plan and stormwater management plan have been prepared as part of the proposed development.

(4) PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued)

D. Describe source of fill material and disposal locations if known.

Fill material will be utilized onsite from the subject property as part of the site grading. Crushed rock will be imported from a local source to complete the development requirements.

E. Construction timeline.

What is the estimated project start date?
What is the estimated project completion date?
Is any of the work underway or already complete?
If yes, please describe.

November 2021

November 2022

🖌 Yes 🔄 No

Vegetation clearing has occurred within the Lone Oak Road ROW. The Devon Estates Subdivision to the southeast is currently undergoing site grading.

F. Removal Volumes and Dimensions (if more than 7 impact sites, include a summary table as an attachment)										
Watland / Waterbody	Removal Dimensions						Time			
Name *	Length (ft.)	Width (ft.)	Depth (ft.)	Area (sq.ft.))	Volume (c.y.)	is to remain**	Material***		
Champion Swale	143	7		1,050		23	Perm	То	psoil, rock	
G. Total Removal Volu	G. Total Removal Volumes and Dimensions									
Total Removal to Wetla	inds and	Other Wa	ters		Ler	ngth (ft.)	Area (sq.	ft / ac.)	Volume (c.y.)	
Total Removal to Wetla	Inds									
Total Removal Below C	Ordinary H	ligh Wate	er			143	1,05	0	23	
Total Removal Below	lighest M	easured ⁻	<u>Fide</u>							
Total Removal Below	ligh Tide	<u>Line</u>								
Total Removal Below	lean High	Water Ti	idal Eleva	<u>tion</u>						
H. Fill Volumes and Di	mensions	(if more t	than 7 imp	act sites, in	clude	e a summa	ry table as a	n attachr	ment)	
Wetland / Waterbody			Fill Dime	nsions			Time Fill is			
Name*	Length (ft.)	Width (ft.)	Depth (ft.)	Area (sq. ft.))	Volume (c.y.)	to remain**	N	laterial***	
Champion Swale	143	7		1,050		667	Perm	Topsoil, rock		
(4) PROJECT DESCRIP	TION (CC	NTINUE)							
I. Total Fill Volumes and Dimensions										
Total Fill to Wetlands a	nd Other	Waters			Length (ft.)		Area (sq.	ft / ac.)	Volume (c.y.)	
Total Fill to Wetlands										
Total Fill Below Ordinary High Water				143		1,05	0	667		
Total Fill Below Highes	t Measure	ed Tide								
Total Fill Below High T	ide Line									
Total Fill Below Mean H	ligh Wate	r Tidal El	evation							

*If there is no official name for the wetland or waterbody, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A"). **Indicate whether the proposed area of removal or fill is permanent or, if you are proposing temporary impacts, specify the days, months or years the fill or removal is to remain.

*** Example: soil, gravel, wood, concrete, pilings, rock etc.

(5) PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

Provide a statement of the purpose and need for the overall project.

The purpose of this project is to provide a secondary access and a sewer connection to affordable singlefamily residential housing for the market area of Salem. The public need for this removal fill activity is based on the documented need for single family housing in Salem and across the Willamette Valley (ORS 197.303(1)). ORS 197.303(1) defines needed housing as, "all housing on land zoned for residential use or mixed residential and commercial use that is determined to meet the need shown for housing within an urban growth boundary at price ranges and rent levels that are affordable to households within the county with a variety of incomes. Needed housing includes the following housing types: (a) Attached and detached single-family housing and multiple family housing for both owner and renter occupancy."

The Willamette Valley Multiple Listing Service (WVMLS) indicates that as of July 2020 the current months of inventory is 1.62. This means that at the current rate of sales we would 'run out of homes' in 1.62 months. Compared to this time last year the inventory was at 2.08 months.

(6) DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCES IN PROJECT AREA

A. Describe the existing physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of each wetland or waterbody. Reference the wetland and waters delineation report if one is available. Include the list of items provided in the instructions.

The adjacent Devon Estates Subdivision (tax lot 300) was reviewed by DSL during a site visit on 9/4/2019 and has indicated there are no jurisdictional wetlands or waterways on the property (WD 2019-0472).

Champion Swale OHWM

The study area consists of the proposed location of the extension of the Lone Oak Road SE right of way. Champion Swale is a perennial tributary to Battle Creek which is located 380 feet to the northwest of this site. This creek enters the study area from the southeast and flows to the north. The creek consists of a 7% slope with a solid bedrock substrate. Channel morphology is straight and is dictated by the distinct natural topography. There is no vegetation within the wetted width. Trees and shrubs were recently cleared and consisted of big leaf maple, Douglas fir, red alder, hazelnut, and blue elderberry. Existing herbaceous vegetation along the ordinary high-water mark consisted of sword fern, deer fern, monkey flower, and stinging nettle. There are no adjacent wetlands within the study area.

OHWM descriptors included a significant break in the slope; change in substrate from silt loam with large rock 6"+ to solid bedrock, absent vegetation; minor scouring; and a natural line impressed upon the bank. The OHWM was above the surface of the flowing water at the time of the field visit. According ODFW this stream is not essential salmonid habitat.

SPECIFIC FUNCTIONS	Function Score	Function Rating	Value Score	Value Rating
Surface Water Storage (SWS)	4.00	Moderate	7.08	Higher
Sub/Surface Water Transfer (SST)	1.45	Lower	10.00	Higher
Flow Variation (FV)	4.68	Moderate	5.08	Moderate
Sediment Continuity (SC)	6.67	Moderate	3.93	Moderate
Sediment Mobility (SM)	4.54	Moderate	8.25	Higher
Maintain Biodiversity (MB)	1.84	Lower	4.42	Moderate
Create and Maintain Habitat (CMH)	2.37	Lower	5.20	Moderate
Sustain Trophic Structure (STS)	2.50	Lower	5.25	Moderate
Nutrient Cycling (NC)	1.16	Lower	5.56	Moderate
Chemical Regulation (CR)	1.45	Lower	5.56	Moderate
Thermal Regulation (TR)	0.00	Lower	9.10	Higher
GROUPED FUNCTIONS	REPRESENTAT	IVE FUNCTION	Function Group Rating	Value Group Rating
Hydrologic Function (SWS, SST, FV)	Surface Water	Storage (SWS)	Moderate	Higher
Geomorphic Function (SC, SM)	Sediment Co	ntinuity (SC)	Moderate	Moderate
Biologic Function (MB, CMH, STS)	Sustain Trophic	Structure (STS)	Lower	Moderate
Water Quality Function (NC, CR, TR)	Chemical Re	gulation (CR)	Lower	Moderate

Table 1. SFAM assessment for the proposed waters impact site.

B. Describe the existing navigation, fishing and recreational use of the waterbody or wetland.

There are no existing navigation, fishing and recreational use of the wetlands.

(7) PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Describe project-specific criteria necessary to achieve the project purpose. Describe alternative sites and project designs that were considered to avoid or minimize impacts to the waterbody or wetland.*

Project specific criteria necessary to achieve the project purpose includes the following:

- Geographic Area Provide affordable single-family residential housing to meet demand within the market area of Salem (UGB).
- Meet Section D107 of the Oregon Fire Code "requiring 30 or more one- or two-family residential dwelling units to be provided with two separate and approved fire apparatus access roads and shall meet the requirements of Section 104.3".

Offsite Alternatives

No other sites were considered, as the applicant already owns tax lot 300 (T8S, R3W, Sec. 22C).

Onsite Alternatives

Based on the existing Lone Oak Road SE stub and 8" sewer connection and the absence of any other streets to the west of the proposed Devon Estates subdivision, there are no other onsite alternatives that would provide this connection.

Preferred Site Plan:

This site plan impacts 143 linear feet of Champion Swale. These impacts are largely based on the current position of the creek and the existing alignment of Lone Oak Road SE with planned street connections to two tax lots (200 and 300) located to the southeast. This plan utilizes the existing Lone Oak Road SE stub and will provide two access points to two future subdivisions. According to the City of Salem, Lone Oak Road SE is designated as a collector street in the Salem TSP. In addition, the Devon Estates property is split into two sewage drainage basins, one going towards the west and one towards the east. The nearest adequate connection for the west basin is an existing 8-inch sewer line northwest of the property in Lone Oak Road SE. The east basin may also be able to receive service from the existing sewer main in Lone Oak Road SE.

The preferred site design is considered to be the most practicable alternative based on the project criteria:

 Meets requirements of Section D107 of the Oregon Fire Code requiring two access points into the subdivision. In addition, according to Section D104.3 "Where two access roads are required, they shall be placed a distance apart equal to not less than one half of the length of the maximum overall diagonal dimension of the property or area to be served, measured in a straight line between addresses".

(8) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION			
Are there state or federally listed species on the project site?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown
Is the project site within designated or proposed critical habitat?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown
Is the project site within a national Wild and Scenic River ?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	Unknown
Is the project site within a State Scenic Waterway?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown
Is the project site within the <u>100-year floodplain</u> ?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No	🗌 Unknown

^{*} Not required by the Corps for a complete application, but is necessary for individual permits before a permit decision can be rendered.

If yes to any above, explain in Bloc	k 6 and describe measures to m	inimize adver	se effects to t	those resources	s in Block 7.				
Is the project site within the Territorial Sea Plan (TSP) Area?									
If yes, attach TSP review as a separ	rate document for DSL.								
Is the project site within a desig	nated Marine Reserve?		🗌 Yes	🖾 No	🗌 Unknown				
If yes, certain additional DSL restric	If yes, certain additional DSL restrictions will apply.								
will the overall project involve g	Will the overall project involve ground disturbance of one acre or more?								
If yes, you may need a 1200-C perm	it from the Oregon Department	of Environme	ntal Quality (I	DEQ).					
Is the fill or dredged material a off-site spills?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown						
Has the fill or dredged material tested?	been physically and/or che	mically	☐ Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown				
If yes, explain in Block 6 and provid	le references to any physical/ch	nemical testing	g report(s).						
Has a cultural resource (archae survey been performed on the	eological and/or built enviror project area?	iment)	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown				
Do you have any additional arc documentation, or corresponde Preservation Office?	haeological or built environi ence from tribes or the State	ment Historic	☐ Yes	⊠ No	🗌 Unknown				
If yes, provide a copy of the survey describe any resources in this doc	and/or documentation of corre- ument. Do not provide the surve	spondence wi ev or documer	th this applicant to DSL	ation to the Cor 	ps only. Do not				
Is the project part of a DEQ Cle	eanup Site? No⊠ Yes□ Pe	rmit number							
DEQ contact.									
Will the project result in new impervious surfaces or the redevelopment of existing surfaces? Yes INO I If yes, the applicant must submit a post-construction stormwater management plan as part of this application to DEQ's 401 WQC program for review and approval, see <u>https://www.oregon.gov/deg/FilterDocs/401wgcertPostCon.pdf</u>									
Identify any other federal agend	cy that is funding, authorizing	g or impleme	enting the pr	oject.					
Agency Name	Contact Name	Phone N	Number Most Recent Date of Contact		nt Date of				
List other certificates or approv work described in this application	als/denials required or receion.	ived from oth	ner federal,	state or local	agencies for				
Agency	Certificate / approval	/ denial desc	cription	Date Applied					
Other DSL and/or Corps Action	ns Associated with this Site	(Check all th	at apply.)	1					
Work proposed on or over lands owned by or leased from the Corps (may require authorization pursuant to 33 USC 408). These could include the federal navigation channel, structures, levees, real estate, dikes, dams, and other Corps projects.									
□ State owned waterway DSL Waterway Lease #:									
Other Corps or DSL Permits	3	Corps #		DSL#					
□ Violation for Unauthorized Activity Corps #				DSL#					
Wetland and Waters Delinea	ation	Corps #		DSL# 20	19-0472				
Submit the entire delineation report to the Corps; submit only the concurrence letter (if complete) and approved maps to DSL. If not previously submitted to DSL, send under a separate cover letter									
(9) IMPACTS, RESTORATION	ON/REHABILITATION, A	ND COMP	ENSATOR	Y MITIGATI	ON				
A. Describe unavoidable environmental impacts that are likely to result from the proposed project. Include permanent, temporary, direct, and indirect impacts.									

The resulting development would directly impact 143 linear feet of Champion Swale while proposing onsite mitigation consisting of a 20' wide vegetative buffer planting north and south of the proposed impacts.										
B. For tempo areas, discus	B. For temporary removal or fill or disturbance of vegetation in waterbodies, wetlands or riparian (i.e., streamside) areas, discuss how the site will be restored after construction to include the timeline for restoration.									
No temporar	No temporary impacts proposed.									
Compensate	ory Mitigation									
C. Proposed	mitigation approa	ch. Check all that apply:								
Permittee responsib Mitigation	 C. Proposed mitigation approach. Check all that apply: Permittee- Permittee-				Mitigation Bank or Payment to Provide (r In-Lieu Fee approved for use with Program Corps permits)					
D. Provide a	brief description on the should reprint the second se	of proposed mitigation appr	oach a	nd the rational	e for ch	oosing that app	oroach. If			
you believe i	intigation should i	iot be required, explain with	y-							
Onsite planti plantings as available at I Champion So north and so Oregon and	ngs are proposed shown on the site Mud Slough and c wale after project uth of the propose suitable for riparia	to compensate for direct i plans. Onsite plantings a posite plantings will provide construction. The planting ed street crossing. Plantin an areas.	mpacts ire prop an im gs will b gs will	to 1,050 squa bosed because provement to t be installed in a include trees a	are feet there a he func a 20' bu and shr	of waters will b are no riverine o ctions and value uffer along Char ubs native to we	e through credits e of mpion Swale estern			
The following	g table lists the sp	ecies and quantities of nat	tive veg	getation that wi	ill be pl	anted.				
Table 2. Rip (rz = rhizome	parian and Upland e, sd = seed, br =	l Buffer planting specificati bare root, pl = plug).	ons for	the onsite miti	gation	area (Slope/Fla	its – PEMC)			
	Stream Creation	Plant Species		Facultative Status	Size	Quantity				
	Trees:									
	Oregon Ash (Frax	(inus latifolia)		FACW	br					
	Black Cottonwood	d (Populus balsimifera)		FAC	br					
	Beaked Hazelnut	(Corvlus cornuta)		FACU	br					
	Big Leaf Maple (A	cer macrophyllum)		FACU	br	2.000 live				
	Shrubs:					native woodv				
	Hard Hack (Spirad	ea douglasii)		FACW	br	plants per				
	Douglas hawthorr	n (Crataegus douglasii)		FAC	br	acre				
	Red osier dogwoo	od (Cornus stolonifera)		FACW	br					
	Nootka rose (Ros	a nutkana)		FAC	br					
	Pacific ninebark (Physocarpus capitatus)		FACW	br					
	Willow species (S	alix spp.)		FACW	br					
	Emergents:									
	Columbian brome	(Bromus vulgaris)		FACU	sd	1 lb/ac				
	Slender wild rye (Elymus trachycaulus)		FAC	sd	1 lb/ac				

Note: Species are dependent upon availability at time of planting. If unavailable, a suitable wetland native replacement will be substituted.

The applicant proposes to utilize the Department's routine performance standards for the proposed mitigation plan.

Herbaceous Cover:

Native species cover at least 80% and/or the cover of invasive species no more than 20% and/or bare substrate represents no more than 20% cover by year 5.

Woody Vegetation:

Cover of native species are at least 80% and the cover of invasive species are no more than 20%. After the site has matured to the stage when desirable canopy species reach 50% cover, the cover of invasive species may increase but not exceed 30%. Density of woody vegetation of at least 2,000 native plants (shrubs) and/or 2,000 live stems (trees) per acre (native species volunteering on the site may be counted, dead plants not included). This standard should be met for 3 consecutive years without irrigation.

Planting native woody vegetation will increase the functions and values of this stream. The following stream functional assessment discusses how the proposed mitigation will improve the overall functions of Champion Swale.

Hydrologic

Riparian plants will increase the riparian reserve, allow sediments to settle out, and filter out excess nutrients for surrounding land uses.

Geomorphic

Planting additional trees and shrubs long the bank will increase the geomorphic functions of this creek. This includes shade and the transport of woody debris downstream. Woody debris will provide structure and stability to the creek over time.

Biological

This segment of Champion Swale is quite linear with very little diversity in bed forms or pool riffle dynamics. Existing vegetation to the north and south of the proposed crossing lacks a mature canopy of trees. With the addition of native trees and shrubs this will assist in enhancing habitats for amphibian and reptiles, aquatic invertebrates, songbird and raptors, mammals, and pollinators. In addition, the plantings will assist in water cooling and increase native plant diversity.

Chemical and Nutrient

The proposed buffer will assist in chemical and nutrient functions in the form of temperature regulation and organic nutrient export.

Per OAR141-085-0765 (4) DSL will require administrative protection of the site and financial assurance for the completion of the mitigation. Protection of the site will be in the form of a deed restriction. The deed restriction will be submitted with the as-built drawings. The applicant is requesting that the financial security instrument be in the form of a surety bond to be released over a five-year period or until the proposed mitigation successfully meets the specified performance standards. The amount of the surety bond would be \$5,078.53 as determined using the DSL Payment-In-Lieu/In-Lieu Fee calculator.

Mitigation Bank / In-Lieu Fee Information:

Name of mitigation bank or in-lieu fee project:

Type and amount of credits to be purchased:

If you are proposing permittee-responsible mitigation, have you prepared a compensatory mitigation plan? Yes. Submit the plan with this application and complete the remainder of this section.

□ No. A mitigation plan will need to be submitted (for DSL, this plan is required for a complete application).

Mitigation Location Information (Fill out only if permittee-responsible mitigation is proposed)

Mitigation Site Name/Legal Description	Mitigation Site Address	Tax Lot #		

County		City		Latite DD.[ude & Longitude (in DDD format)
Township	Range		Section	1	Quarter/Quarter

(10) ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS FOR PROJECT AND MITIGATION SITE						
YORK LT YORK, NICOLE S 6504 LONE OAK RD SE SALEM, OR 97306	TEXTRUM LT & TEXTRUM, R BRUCE TRE & TEXTRUM,CAROL A TRE 522 SAHALEE DR SE SALEM, OR 97306	BENNETT, JEDEDIAH & TAYLOR-BENNETT, TIMME 6256 SKYLINE RD S SALEM, OR 97306				
OAK RIDGE ESTATES LLC 6480 CHESSINGTON LN GLADSTONE, OR 97027	TRAUTMAN, JEFFREY A & TRAUTMAN, MCKENZIE PO BOX 549 SALEM, OR 97306	CITY OF SALEM 340 COMMERCIAL ST NE SALEM, OR 97301				
GATTUCCIO, JOHN C & GATTUCCIO, NANCY J 6581 DEVON ST SE SALEM, OR 97306	ELKINS,JAMES D TRE & KAREN M ELKINS TR 928 ELKINS WY SE SALEM, OR 97306	MICHAEL & JOANNE BREWER LT 6710 DEVON AV SE SALEM, OR 97306				
CUELLAR, ERASMO & CUELLAR, RISE G 6720 DEVON AV SE SALEM, OR 97306	WILLIAMS, ROBERT L (LE) & WILLIAMS, MARILYN B (LE) C/O CAD PROPERTIES LLC 928 ELKINS WY SE SALEM, OR 97306	GANCHENKO, NATALYA N 653 REES HILL RD SE SALEM, OR 97306				

(11) CITY/COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT LAND USE AFFIDAVIT (TO BE COMPLETED BY LOCAL PLANNING OFFICIAL)

I have reviewed the project described in this application and have determined that:

This project is not regulated by the comprehensive plan and land use regulations

This project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations

This project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations with the following:

Conditional Use Approval

Development Permit

Other Permit (explain in comment section below)

This project is not currently consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations. To be consistent requires:

Plan Amendment

Zone Change

Other Approval or Review (explain in comment section below)

An application or variance request has <u>has not</u> been filed for the approvals required above.

Local planning official name (print)	Title		City / County
Signature		Date	
Comments:			

(12) COASTAL ZONE CERTIFICATION

If the proposed activity described in your permit application is within the <u>Oregon Coastal Zone</u>, the following certification is required before your application can be processed. The signed statement will be forwarded to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) for its concurrence or objection. For additional information on the Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program and consistency reviews of federally permitted projects, contact DLCD at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301 or call 503-373-0050 or click <u>here</u>.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the proposed activity described in this application complies with the approved Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program and will be completed in a manner consistent with the program.

Print /Type Applicant Name	Title
Applicant Signature	Date

(13) SIGNATURES

Application is hereby made for the activities described herein. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities. By signing this application I consent to allow Corps or DSL staff to enter into the above-described property to inspect the project location and to determine compliance with an authorization, if granted. I hereby authorize the person identified in the authorized agent block below to act in my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish supplemental information in support of this permit application. I understand that the granting of other permits by local, county, state or federal agencies does not release me from the requirement of obtaining the permits requested before commencing the project. I understand that payment of the required state processing <u>fee</u> does not guarantee permit issuance. **To be considered complete, the fee must accompany the application to DSL. The fee is not required for submittal of an application to the Corps.**

Fee Amount Enclosed	\$1,011			
Applicant Signature (required)	must match the nar	ne in Block 2		
Print Name		Title		
Signature		Date		
Authorized Agent Signature				
Print Name		Title		
Eric Henning		Managing Member, ZNR		
Signature		Date		

Landowner Signature(s)*					
Landowner of the Project Site (if different from applicant)					
Print Name	Title				
Signature	Date				
Landowner of the Mitigation Site (if different from	applicant)				
Print Name	Title				
Signature	Date				
Department of State Lands, Property Manager (to	be completed by DSL)				
If the project is located on <u>state-owned submerged and submersible lands</u> , DSL staff will obtain a signature from the Land Management Division of DSL. A signature by DSL for activities proposed on state-owned submerged/submersible lands only grants the applicant consent to apply for a removal-fill permit. A signature for activities on state-owned submerged and submersible lands grants no other authority, express or implied and a separate proprietary authorization may be required.					
Print Name	Title				
Signature	Date				

* Not required by the Corps.

(14) ATTACHMENTS

⊠ Drawings
☑ Location map with roads identified
⊠ U.S.G.S topographic map
⊠ Tax lot map
⊠ Site plan(s)
☑ Plan view and cross section drawing(s)
⊠ Recent aerial photo
Project photos
Erosion and Pollution Control Plan(s), if applicable
☑ DSL / Corps Wetland Concurrence letter and map, if approved and applicable
Pre-printed labels for adjacent property owners (Required if more than 30)
☑ Incumbency Certificate if applicant is a partnership or corporation
Restoration plan or rehabilitation plan for temporary impacts
Mitigation plan
Wetland functional assessments, if applicable
Cover Page
□ Score Sheets
□ ORWAP OR, F, T, & S forms
ORWAP Reports
□ Assessment Maps
ORWAP Reports: Soils, Topo, Assessment area, Contributing area
Stream Functional Assessments, if applicable
⊠ Cover Page
⊠ Score Sheets
⊠ SFAM PA, PAA, & EAA forms
⊠ SFAM Report
⊠ Assessment Maps
Aerial Photo Site Map and Topo Site Map (Both maps should document the PA, PAA, & EAA)
Compensatory Mitigation (CM) Eligibility & Accounting Worksheet
Matching Quickguide sheet(s)
CM Eligibility & Accounting sheet
\Box Alternatives analysis
☐ Biological assessment (il requested by the Corps project manager during pre-application coordination)
\Box Stornwater management plan (may be required by the Corps of DEQ)

For U.S. Army Corps of Engineers send application to:

USACE Portland District ATTN: CENWP-ODG-P PO Box 2946 Portland, OR 97208-2946 Phone: 503-808-4373 portlandpermits@usace.army.mil

Counties:

Baker, Benton, Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Gilliam, Grant, Hood River, Jefferson, Lincoln, Linn, Malheur, Marion, Morrow, Multnomah, Polk, Sherman, Tillamook, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, Wasco, Washington, Wheeler, Yamhill

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ATTN: CENWP-ODG-E 211 E. 7th AVE, Suite 105 Eugene, OR 97401-2722 Phone: 541-465-6868 portlandpermits@usace.army.mil

Counties:

Coos, Crook, Curry, Deschutes, Douglas, Jackson, Josephine, Harney, Klamath, Lake, Lane

For Department of State Lands send application to:

West of the Cascades: Department of State Lands 775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301-1279 Phone: 503-986-5200

East of the Cascades:

Department of State Lands 1645 NE Forbes Road, Suite 112 Bend, Oregon 97701 Phone: 541-388-6112

For Department of Environmental Quality e-mail application to:

ATTN: DEQ 401 Certification Program Water Quality 700 NE Multnomah St, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232 401applications@deq.state.or.us













J:\6500-6599\6502-DevonAvenueSubdivision\Dwa20\6502base.dwa/DSL401

MULTI/TECH ENG. INC.



COMPENSATORY MITIGATION - ROUTINE ELIGIBILITY ACCOUNTING

Draft Compensatory Mitigation Eligibility and Accounting Determination Form STEP 1. ELIGIBILITY

INSTRUCTIONS: This eligibility worksheet is used to determine whether a proposed compensatory mitigation site is ecologically appropriate to offset proposed impacts. Final eligibility is determined by the agency. The expectation is that compensatory mitigation sites provide an ecological match (i.e. class, function, and value) to the impact site. In some circumstances, an exception to ecological match may be allowed if the permittee demonstrates that the proposed compensatory mitigation site addresses local or watershed needs or priorities. Enter data in red boxes only. Yellow boxes will populate automatically.

Criteria		RESPONSE	RESULT	COMMENTS
	Does the mitigation site replace <u>all</u> of the following:	\square		Aquatic Resources of Special Concern must be replaced in-kind and may not otherwise meet all criteria.
Expectation for	 a) HGM class(es) and subclass(es)? Select yes or no from drop-down list. 	No	NOT MET	
providing ecological match for wetlands	 b) Cowardin system(s) and class(es)? Select yes or no from drop-down list. 	No	NOT MET	
impacts	c) Group-level functions and values? • Compare ORWAP ratings between the impact site and the mitigation site (predicted scores) to determine this. Select yes or no from drop-down list.	Not applicable	MET	This criterion does not apply when purchasing Legacy Credits, ILF credits not associated with a DSL-approved project, or PIL. Does not apply to non-tidal wetland impacts ≤0.2 acres purchasing credits.
	ORKSHEET			Aquatic Resources of Special Concern must be replaced in-kind and may not otherwise meet all criteria.
	Does the mitigation site replace <u>all</u> of the following:			
	 a) Flow permanance (intermittent or perennial)? Select yes or no from drop-down list. 	Yes	MET	
Expectation for providing ecological match	 b) Stream size class (small, medium, or large)? Select ves or no from drop-down list. 	Yes	MET	Stream size class as set forth by Oregon Department of Forestry in OAR 629-635- 0200 Sections (13) and (14). <u>Mitigation</u> <u>Planning Map Viewer</u>
for <u>stream</u> impacts	 c) Essential Indigenous Anadromous Salmonid Habitat (ESH) designation, if the impact is to an ESH stream? Select yes, no, or Impact site is not ESH from the drop-down list. 	Impact Site is not ESH	MET	
	 d) Group-level functions and values? Compare SFAM ratings between the impact site and the mitigation site (predicted scores) to determine this. Select yes or no from drop-down list. 	Yes	MET	This criterion does not apply when purchasing Legacy Credits, ILF credits not associated with a DSL approved project, or PIL
If any criterion above are not met, determine whether the mitigation site might qualify for an exception (as a watershed priority) by answering the following two questions. If all criteria above were met, skip the next two questions and move to Step 2: Accounting.				
	a) Address a watershed priority, as identified in a planning or assessment document, report, or other data?			1
Possible exception to	 Must be fully described in the permit application. Select yes or no from the drop-down list. 			

	from the drop-down list.	
exception to cological match	b) Provide a high level of the functions and values that are relevant to the targeted priority (either currently or post-construction)?	
	 Must be fully described in the permit application. Select yes or no from the drop-down list. 	

COMPENSATORY MITIGATION - ROUTINE ELIGIBILITY ACCOUNTING

STEP 2. ACCOUNTING

INSTRUCTIONS: This accounting worksheet is used to estimate a permittee's wetland mitigation requirements, specific to a particular impact and proposed mitigation site. There are no minimum requirements defined for streams. Final requirements will be determined by the agency. Requirements are based on (1) the mitigation method, (2) the function/value replacement achieved, (3) function temporal loss factors, (4) level of function replacement, and (5) stewardship and site protection plans. Enter data in red boxes only. Yellow boxes will populate automatically. A separate column must be used for each mitigation method used (e.g. if a mitigation site includes both restoration and enhancement, the mitigation method for those distinct areas must be calculated in separate columns). A separate column may also be used to allow different function temporal loss factors to be applied to different acreages, even if the mitigation method being used on that acreage is the same.

	Factor	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Notes
Mitigation method	 What method(s) of mitigation is proposed? Select an option from drop-down list. MINIMUM MITIGATION REQUIREMENT 	Restoration			If purchasing credits, ILF or PIL, select "credit purchase." Minimum requirements for preservation and non-wetland waters are case-by-case, as determined by the Department.
	(acres of mitigation required per acre of impact)				
Note: Adjustmen	ts do not apply to non-tidal wetland impacts ≤0.2 ac	res purchasing credits	s as mitigation; select	"Not applicable" for	each factor.
Specific function and value replacement (increase factor)	 How many specific functions and values from the impact site are replaced at the mitigation site? Compare ORWAP ratings between the impact site and the mitigation site (predicted scores) to determine this. Select an option from drop-down list. 	Not applicable + 0%			Select "Not applicable" if the mitigation site is approved/seeking approval as an exception to in-kind replacement under a watershed priority approach, or best professional judgement was used to assess functions and values.
Function temporal loss (increase factor)	Which factor, if any, will cause the greatest temporal loss 영화방다받면? • Select first applicable option from drop-down list.	Not applicable + 0%			Soil adjustment factors are not applicable to credit purchases or removal of historic fill. Vegetation and soil adjustments may not apply when the mitigation method is preservation.
High level of function replacement (decrease factor)	Does the CM site exceed at least 80% of the specific functions being lost at the impact site? • Compare ORWAP function ratings between the impact site and the mitigation site (predicted scores) to determine this. Select an option from drop-down list.	Not applicable			"Exceed" means replaced beyond an overlapping rating break proximity. Select "Not applicable" if the mitigation site is approved/seeking approval as an exception to in-kind replacement under a watershed priority approach, or best professional judgement was used to assess functions and values.
Mitigation site protection & stewardship (decrease factor)	What level of site protection and stewardship is proposed for the mitigation site? • Select an option from the drop-down list.	Enhanced stewardship - 20%			Mitigation banks and ILFs typically have enhanced stewardship.
	Total adjustment (percent increase)	0%			
	ADJUSTED MITIGATION REQUIREMENT (acres of mitigation required per acre of impact)	1.00			
		Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Notes
	Acreage of impact	0.02			Insert the area of unavoidable permanent impact
	MITIGATION ACREAGE REQUIRED (adjusted mitigation requirement * impacted acreage)	0.02			
	TOTAL MITIGATION REQUIRED WITHOUT BUFFERS	0.02	This is the mitigatior	acreage required if a	a buffer is not required by DSL

This section is only used if DSL requires a buffer at the compensatory mitigation project								
Factor		Method	1	Method	2	Method	3	Notes
	Buffer acreage							Use multiple methods only if more than one ratio will be applied to the buffer.
Credit for DSL Required Buffers								DSL will determine the credit ratio for
	Buffer credit ratio							required per credit (e.g. for 10:1, enter 10).
	Buffer Credit							
	Total Buffer Credit		0					
	TOTAL MITIGATION REQUIRED WITH BUFFER CREDITS APPLIED			This is the mit	tigatior	n acreage requ	ired if	buffers are required by DSL

WORKSHEET

Payment Calculator for DSL-provided Wetland Mitigation and for Estimating Financial Securities for Permittee-Responsible Mitigation Effective June 1, 2021

Step 1: Check your impact site location on the <u>Mitigation Banks Map</u>. If there is a mitigation provider with appropriate wetland credits serving your area please contact the provider to determine eligibility, credit availability, price, and terms.

Step 2: If there is no mitigation provider with appropriate wetland credits for your project location, proceed with the payment calculator below. Fill in impact area, land value, and zoning for the development site per the instructions below to determine the payment for mitigation credits. The payment calculator may also be used to estimate financial securities for permittee-responsible mitigation. Please be aware payment in lieu does not satisfy mitigation requirements for the US Army Corps of Engineers.

Instructions: Insert the requested information in yellow highlighted cells. Payment required is calculated in the green highlighted cell.

Enter the DSL Application Number:		Enter the DSL-assigned application number, if known (APP0000000)
Area to be mitigated (acres)	0.02	Insert the acreage of the wetland loss that must be mitigated. Enter to the nearest 0.01-acre for impacts greater than 0.01 of an acre or to the nearest 0.001-acre for impacts les than 0.01 of an acre.
Tax lot acreage (impact site)	20	Insert the total acreage of the tax lot where impact is located
Real market land value of tax lot	\$ 922,240.00	Insert the real market <u>land</u> value for the tax lot; do not include the value of structures or improvements. Refer to the most recent property tax statement from the county assessor* or from a recent land appraisal. The proportional cost of the area to be mitigated is used in the payment calculation.
Zoning Adjustment Factor	0.8	Insert the correct adjustment from table 1 based on the zoning of the tax lot being impacted
Restoration cost (per acre)	\$ 24,886.00	Insert the restoration cost from table 2 for the basin where the impact is located
PAYMENT REQUIRED:	\$ 5,078.53	Payment = (RMV + R + LT + A)*mm or calculated to not exceed maximum cost per acre. See information below.

Table 1: Zoning Adjustment Factor

	Proportion of RMV to be
Description of Zoning	included
Residential zoned properties with improvements such as	
utilities and subdivision infrastructure	0.5
Properties zoned commercial, industrial, or zoned	
residential without improvements	0.8
Properties zoned for agriculture, forestry, conservation use, and public reserve	1

Table 2: Restoration Cost by Basin

Basin (6 digit hydrologic unit code)*	Wetlands (per acre)
Black Rock Desert (160402)	\$27,996
Deschutes River Basin (170703)	\$39,832
John Day River Basin (170702)	\$27,996
Klamath River Basin (180102)	\$35,899
Lower Columbia (170800)	\$28,796
Lower Snake (170601)	\$30,754
Middle Columbia River Basin (170701)	\$39,524
Middle Snake-Boise (170501)	\$27,996
Middle Snake-Powder (170502)	\$27,996
Northern Oregon Coastal (171002)	\$24,670
Oregon Closed Basins (171200)	\$27,996
Southern Oregon Coastal (171003)	\$20,979
Upper Sacramento (180200)	\$27,996
Willamette River Basin (170900)	\$24,886