

# 710 Winding Way SE

## Property Line Adjustment Application

---

**Date:** March 2021

**Submitted to:** City of Salem  
Planning Division  
555 Liberty Street SE #305  
Salem, OR 97301

**Applicant:** Matthew Bailey  
710 Winding Way SE  
Salem, OR 97302

**AKS Job Number:** 5176



3700 River Road N, Suite 1  
Keizer, OR 97303  
(503) 400-6028

---

## Table of Contents

<b>I.</b>	<b>Executive Summary.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>Site Description/Setting .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>Applicable Review Criteria .....</b>	<b>2</b>
	UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE .....	2
	Chapter 205 Land Division and Reconfiguration .....	2
	205.055 Property Line Adjustments. ....	2
	Chapter 300 Procedures for Land Use Application and Legislative Land Use Approvals.....	6
	300.210. Application submittal. ....	6
	Chapter 511. RS – Single Family Residential.....	9
	511.005. Uses. ....	9
	511.010. Development standards.....	10
	Chapter 800. General Development Standards .....	13
	800.015. Lot standards, generally.....	13
	800.025. Flag lots. ....	13
	800.040. Special setbacks.....	14
<b>IV.</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>14</b>

## Exhibits

- Exhibit A:** Site Plans and PLA Maps
  - Exhibit B:** City of Salem Application Form
  - Exhibit C:** Recorded Deed
  - Exhibit D:** Preliminary Title Report
  - Exhibit E:** 1943 Deed, Volume 281 Page 265
  - Exhibit F:** Alderbrook Manor Plat
  - Exhibit G:** Draft PLA Deeds
  - Exhibit H:** Legal Memo
  - Exhibit I:** Ordinance Bill No. 8-18
-

---

# 710 Winding Way SE

## Property Line Adjustment Application

**Submitted to:** City of Salem  
Planning Division  
555 Liberty Street SE, #305  
Salem, OR 97301

**Applicant:** Matthew Bailey  
710 Winding Way SE  
Salem, OR 97302

**Property Owners:** Matthew and Suzanne Bailey  
710 Winding Way SE  
Salem, OR 97302

**Applicant's Consultant:** AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC  
3700 River Road N, Suite 1  
Keizer, OR 97303

Contact: Curt Fisher  
Email: fisherc@aks-eng.com  
Phone: (503) 400-6028

**Site Location:** 710-770 Winding Way SE in Salem, OR

**Marion County Assessor's Map:** 08 3W 10AB; Tax Lot 3400

**Site Size:** ±9.61 acres

**Land Use Districts:** RS (Single-Family Residential)

---

## **I. Executive Summary**

AKS Engineering and Forestry, LLC is pleased to submit this application on behalf of Matthew Bailey (Applicant) to the City of Salem for three property line adjustments to legal lot lines contained within Tax Lot 3400 (Marion County Tax Assessor's Map 08 3W 10AB) located at 710-770 Winding Way SE in the City of Salem's Single-Family Residential (RS) zoning district. The application involves moving three property lines that are shared between a  $\pm 5.02$ -acre lot within Tax Lot 3400 that was legally created by deed in 1943 (Exhibit E and H) and lots 16, 17, and 21 created by the Alderbrook Manor subdivision. The Applicant intends to construct two new single-family homes on two of the adjusted lots.

## **II. Site Description/Setting**

The subject site consists of a single Tax Lot of  $\pm 9.61$  acres located in the Faye-Wright Neighborhood, zoned RS, and designated for single family use on the Salem Comprehensive Plan Map. The site is an irregularly shaped property bound by Commercial Street SE to the east, Winding Way SE to the north, and Welcome Way SE to the south. Existing development consists of two single family homes, a detached garage, barn, a swimming pool, tennis court, and a garden. The properties to the west are in the RS Zone and are developed with single family homes. The properties along Commercial Street SE to the north of Winding Way SE are in the CR Zone. The properties along Commercial Street SE to the south of Welcome Way are zoned CO (Commercial Office). Properties to the north and south along Commercial Street SE are developed with a variety of commercial uses.

The areas on the property not occupied with existing structures and the garden are mostly wooded with undulating topography. The property has a mapped waterway (Alderbrook Swale) and an associated riparian area near the west property line. A small portion of the site, located to the southeast, is in the regulatory floodplain. There are no mapped landslide hazard areas on the site.

As indicated on the Recorded Deed in Exhibit C, the subject property includes a total of eleven lots. The  $\pm 5.02$ -acre unit of land in the middle of the site was created in 1943 by a deed that is recorded in Volume 281, Page 265 of the Marion County Records (see Exhibit E) and contains the existing improvements described above with access taken from Winding Way SE via a private driveway that loosely follows an unimproved public right-of-way (Vacation Lane SE). Eight of the lots were created by the Alderbrook Manor subdivision plat in Exhibit F, which was recorded in 1958. Two of the lots were created by the Alder Brook Annex No. 1 subdivision plat in 1941.

The Salem City Council approved an owner-initiated vacation of the right-of-way along Vacation Lane SE with a condition that the lots will be reconfigured in such a way as to ensure that all lots have adequate access to a public street. This property line adjustment application will fulfill that condition.

## **III. Applicable Review Criteria**

### **UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE**

#### **Chapter 205 Land Division and Reconfiguration**

##### **205.055 Property Line Adjustments.**

- 
- (a) *Applicability.* A property line adjustment is required to relocate or eliminate all or a portion of a common property line between two abutting units of land that were lawfully established, as defined by ORS 92.010(3)(a), or to incorporate into another unit of land, as provided by ORS 92.010(9)(e), excess right-of-way that was acquired for street or other right-of-way purposes and subsequently sold by a public body. Property line adjustments shall not be used to create an additional unit of land, or to create units of land that are non-conforming. No property line shall be relocated or eliminated without property line adjustment approval as set forth in this section.

**Response:** This application includes three sequential property line adjustments to move property lines between a ±5.02-acre tract (Vol. 281, Page 265 of Marion County Public Records) created by the 1943 deed in Exhibit E and lots 16, 17, and 23 created by the abutting Alderbrook Manor subdivision in Exhibit F. The property line adjustments do not result in the creation of an additional unit of land.

- (b) *Procedure type.* A property line adjustment is processed as a Type I procedure under SRC chapter 300.

**Response:** This property line adjustment application includes the applicable submittal requirements and will be processed using a Type I procedure.

- (c) *Submittal requirements.* In addition to the submittal requirements for a Type I application under SRC chapter 300, an application for a property line adjustment shall include:

- (1) A copy of recorded deeds for the existing units of land;

**Response:** The current recorded deed for the entire subject property is included in Exhibit C. This requirement is met.

- (2) A site plan, drawn to scale, indicating:

- (A) The dimensions and areas of the units of land before and after the proposed property line adjustment;

**Response:** The dimensions and areas of the units of land before and after each property line adjustment is included on the Preliminary Plans in Exhibit A and the legal descriptions in the Draft Property Line Adjustment Deeds in Exhibit G. This requirement is met.

- (B) Setbacks, building separations, lot coverage, vehicular access, and public and private utilities;

**Response:** Site Plans containing the required information are included in Exhibit A. This requirement is met.

- (3) Proof of ownership including, but not limited to, a preliminary title report not older than 30 days for each affected property at the time the application is submitted;

**Response:** A Preliminary Title Report is included in Exhibit D. This requirement is met.

- (4) Any additional documents required to establish that the unit(s) of land were legally created;

**Response:** The ±5.02-acre tract abutting the Alderbrook Manor subdivision to the south and the Alder Brook Annex No. 1 subdivision to the north was created by a deed recorded in 1943 in Vol. 281, Page 265 of Marion County Records (see Exhibit E). This lot was conveyed by deed prior to any applicable City land division regulations. Therefore, the lot is a legal unit

---

of land under ORS 92.010(3)(B)(ii). Please refer to the Legal Memo in Exhibit H for a complete analysis detailing how these units of land were legally created. This requirement is met.

- (5) A copy of the draft property line adjustment deed(s), in a form approved by the Director, containing:
  - (A) The names of the owners;
  - (B) Legal descriptions of the adjusted property(ies) and the transacted property prepared and sealed by an Oregon-registered Professional Land Surveyor;
  - (C) References to original recorded deeds including the creation date and instrument used to lawfully establish each unit of land; and
  - (D) A place for the signatures of all parties, along with proper notary acknowledgment.

**Response:** Draft property line adjustment deeds containing the required information are included in Exhibit G. This requirement is met.

- (d) *Criteria.* A property line adjustment shall be approved if all of the following criteria are met:

- (1) The property line adjustment will not create an additional unit of land;

**Response:** As shown on the PLA maps in Exhibit A, the PLAs will not create an additional unit of land. This criterion is met.

- (2) The property line adjustment will not create nonconforming units of land or nonconforming development, or increase the degree of nonconformity in existing units of land or existing development;

**Response:** The project is located within the RS zoning district. Chapter 511 of this narrative includes responses to the lot and development standards that apply in this district to demonstrate that the property line adjustment will not create nonconforming units of land or nonconforming development or increase the degree of nonconformity in existing units of land or existing development. This criterion is met.

- (3) The property line adjustment involves only units of land that were lawfully established, where the instruments creating the units of land have been properly recorded, or the property line adjustment involves the incorporation of excess right-of-way, acquired for street or other right-of-way purposes and subsequently sold by a public body, into a unit of land that was lawfully established;

**Response:** The two units of land included in PLA 1 involve Lot 16 created by the Alderbrook Manor Plat (see Exhibit F) and the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 page 265 of the Marion County Records (see Exhibit E). The two units of land included in PLA 2 involve the adjusted Lot 16 resulting from PLA 1 and Lot 17 created by the Alderbrook Manor Plat. The two units of land included in PLA 3 involve the adjusted Lot 16 resulting from PLA 1 and 2 and Lot 21 created by the Alderbrook Manor Plat. Therefore, each one of these property line adjustments involves only two units of land that were lawfully established. This criterion is met.

- 
- (4) The property line adjustment is not prohibited by any existing City land approval, or previous condition of approval, affecting on or both of the units of land;

**Response:** This property line adjustment is not prohibited by any existing City land approval, or previous condition of approval. The property is subject to Section 3(a) of Ordinance 8-18 in Exhibit I which requires a reconfiguration of the lots in such a way as to ensure that all lots have adequate access to a public street. This application satisfies this condition with an approximately 381-foot-long private access easement providing access to the adjusted remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 page 265 of the Marion County Records (see Exhibit E), the adjusted Lot 16 of Alderbrook Manor, and the adjusted Lot 17 of Alderbrook Manor from Welcome Way SE. This criterion is met.

- (5) The property line adjustment does not involve the relocation or elimination of any public easement or right-of-way; and

**Response:** Ordinance 8-18 was passed in which requires a reconfiguration of the lots in such a way as to ensure that all lots have adequate access to a public street. This application does not involve the relocation or elimination of any public easement or right-of-way. This criterion is met.

- (6) The property line adjustment does not adversely affect the availability or access to public and private utilities or streets.

**Response:** Access to public and private utilities and streets can be provided as shown on the Conceptual Composite Utility Plan in Exhibit A. Street access from Welcome Way SE to the adjusted legal unit of land described in Volume 281 page 265 of the Marion County Records is planned with a 60-foot-wide accessway. The accessway is planned with 60-feet of width so that it could be used to accommodate a local public street if the property were to be further divided in the future. Therefore, the property line adjustment does not adversely affect the availability or access to public and private utilities or streets. This criterion is met.

- (e) *Multiple property line adjustments.* If more than three property line adjustment applications affecting the same unit of land are proposed within a six-month period, the property line adjustments shall be processed as follows:

- (1) When the units of land are within a recorded plat, the property line adjustments affecting the units of land shall be by replat; and
- (2) When the units of land are not within a recorded plat, the property line adjustments affecting the units of land shall be by partition.

**Response:** As previously discussed, this application does not involve more than three property line adjustments affecting the same unit of land. This criterion does not apply.

- (f) *Monumentation recording.*

- (1) Property line adjustments shall be surveyed, monumented, and recorded as required by state law. Prior to recording the record of survey map with the county:
  - (A) The City Surveyor shall review the final property line adjustment deed document(s) and an updated preliminary title report, not older than 30 days from the date of the review, and certify that it:

- 
- (i) Identifies the correct owners of each property;
  - (ii) Identifies the grantor and grantee in the correct manner;
  - (iii) Includes, when applicable, references to any easements of record;
  - (iv) Includes a legal description(s) that:
    - (aa) Accurately describes the adjusted property(ies) and the properties being conveyed;
    - (bb) Contains bearing and distance calls that mathematically close; and
    - (cc) Contain, when applicable, correct references to artificial and natural monuments along adjoining property(ies).
  - (v) Correctly represents the areas in each legal description; and
  - (vi) Complies with the requirements of state law.
  - (B) The applicant shall record the final property line adjustment deed(s) document; and
  - (C) The City Surveyor shall review the record of survey map to ensure:
    - (i) That the record of survey map conforms with the property line adjustment deeds; and
    - (ii) Compliance with state law and this section.

**Response:** The process for final recording is understood.

(g) Expiration.

- (1) Property line adjustment approval shall expire as provided in SRC 300.850, unless the approved property line adjustment deed and record of survey map are recorded with the county.
- (2) Multiple property line adjustments processed according to subsection (e) of this section shall expire as provided in SRC 300.850 according to the expiration period specified for the required application.
- (3) Evidence demonstrating that the approved property line adjustment deed and record of survey map, when required under subsection (f) of this section, have been recorded with the county shall be provided to the Director.

**Response:** The applicable expiration date for the final decision is understood.

Chapter 300 Procedures for Land Use Application and Legislative Land Use Approvals

300.210 Application submittal.

- (a) Land use applications shall be submitted on forms prescribed by the Planning Administrator. A land use application shall not be accepted in partial submittals. All of the following must be submitted to initiate completeness review under SRC 300.220. All information supplied on the application form and accompanying the application shall be complete and correct as to the applicable facts.
  - (1) A completed application form. The application form shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:
    - (A) The names and addresses of the applicant(s), the owner(s) of the subject property, and any authorized representative(s) thereof;



- 
- (B) The address or location of the subject property and its assessor's map and tax lot number;
  - (C) The size of the subject property;
  - (D) The comprehensive plan designation and zoning of the subject property;
  - (E) The type of application(s);
  - (F) A brief description of the proposal; and
  - (G) Signatures of the applicant(s), owner(s) of the subject property, and/or the duly authorized representative(s) thereof authorizing the filing of the application(s).

**Response:** A completed application form containing the required information has been submitted. A copy of the completed form is included in Exhibit B. This requirement is met.

- (2) Recorded deed/land sales contract with legal description;

**Response:** The recorded deed with legal descriptions of the lots contained in the subject property is included in Exhibit C. This requirement is met.

- (3) Any information that would give rise to an actual or potential conflict of interest under state or local ethics laws for any member of a Review Authority that will or could make a decision on the application;

**Response:** The Applicant is not aware of actual or potential conflicts of interest for any member of a Review Authority.

- (4) Pre-application conference written summary, if a pre-application conference was required under SRC 300.310(a) and Table 300-2; or copy of the approved pre-application conference waiver, if such approval was granted pursuant to SRC 300.310(b);

**Response:** According to Table 300-2, a pre-application conference is not required for a PLA application. This requirement does not apply.

- (5) A statement as to whether any City-recognized neighborhood associations whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property were contacted in advance of filing the application and, if so, a summary of the contact. The summary shall include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact and who it was with (e.g., phone conversation with neighborhood association chairperson, meeting with land use committee, presentation at neighborhood association meeting), and the result;

**Response:** According to Table 300-2, neighborhood association contact is not required for a PLA application. However, the Applicant is a member of the neighborhood and has undertaken extensive correspondence with his neighbors and the neighborhood association throughout this project. This requirement does not apply.

- (6) For applications requiring neighborhood association contact under SRC 300.310, a copy of the required e-mail or letter to the neighborhood association, and a list of the e-mail or postal addresses to which the e-mail or letter was sent;

**Response:** According to Table 300-2, neighborhood association contact is not required for a PLA application. However, the Applicant is a member of the neighborhood and has

---

undertaken extensive correspondence with his neighbors and the neighborhood association throughout this project. This requirement does not apply.

(7) For applications requiring an open house under SRC 300.320:

(A) A copy of the sign-in sheet for the open house and a summary of the comments provided; or

(B) When a neighborhood association meeting has been substituted for a required open house, a summary of the comments provided at the neighborhood association meeting;

**Response:** According to Table 300-2, an open house is not required for a PLA application. This requirement does not apply.

(8) A statement as to whether the Salem-Keizer Transit District was contacted in advance of filing the application; and if so, a summary of the contact. The summary shall include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact, who it was with, and the result;

**Response:** Salem-Keizer Transit District was not contacted for this application. However, they were contacted and commented on previous submittals related to this project. This requirement does not apply.

(9) A written statement addressing each applicable approval criterion and standard;

**Response:** This narrative provides written responses to each applicable approval criterion and standard. This requirement is met.

(10) For Type II, Type III, and applicant initiated Type IV applications involving property subject to an active and duly incorporated Homeowner's Association (HOA) registered with the Oregon Secretary of State which includes an identified registered agent, the HOA name and mailing address for the registered agent.

**Response:** This PLA application is being processed as a Type I procedure. This requirement does not apply.

(11) For applications for affordable multiple family housing where a 100-day state mandated decision date is sought, a draft copy of the covenant required under ORS 197.311 restricting the owner, and each successive owner, of the development or a residential unit within the development from selling or renting any of the identified affordable residential units as housing that is not affordable housing for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy.

**Response:** This application does not involve affordable multiple family housing. This requirement does not apply.

(12) Any additional information required under the UDC for the specific land use action sought;

**Response:** Exhibit H includes a Legal Memo that provides additional information documenting that the lots included in the PLA application are legally created units of land. This requirement is met.

(13) Any additional information, as determined by the Planning Administrator, that may be required by another provision, or for any other permit elsewhere,

in the UDC, and any other information that may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed development plan as to its conformance to the applicable criteria;

**Response:** Exhibit H includes a Legal Memo that provides additional information documenting that the lots included in the PLA application are legally created units of land. This requirement is met.

(14) Payment of the applicable application fee(s) pursuant to SRC 110.090.

**Response:** The application fees have been paid.

(b) The Planning Administrator may waive any submittal requirement if the Planning Administrator determines that the specific requirement would not provide evidence needed to satisfy any of the applicable criteria.

**Response:** This practice is understood. The application does not request a waiver of any required submittal items.

(c) Each application, when received, shall be date-stamped with the date the application was received, and designated with a receipt number and a notation of the staff person who received the application.

**Response:** This practice is understood.

Chapter 511 RS – Single Family Residential

511.005 Uses.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the permitted (P), special (S), conditional (C), and prohibited (N) uses in the RS zone are set forth in Table 511-1.

TABLE 511-1. USES		
Use	Status	Limitations & Qualifications
Household Living		
Single family	P	The following single family activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Single family detached dwelling.</li><li>■ Residential home, as defined under ORS 197.660.</li><li>■ Manufactured dwelling park, subject to SRC chapter 235.</li></ul>
	S	The following single family activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Manufactured home, subject to SRC 700.025.</li><li>■ Townhouse, subject to SRC 700.085.</li><li>■ Zero side yard dwelling, subject to SRC 700.095.</li></ul>
	N	All other Single Family.

**Response:** The single-family home will be retained on the adjusted Lot 16. Single family homes are planned for the adjusted Lot 21 and the remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E. These uses are permitted in the RS Zone. This standard is met.

(b) Continued uses. Existing cottage housing within the RS zone constructed prior to May 15, 1979, but which would otherwise be made nonconforming by this chapter, is hereby deemed a continued use.

- (1) Building or structures housing a continued use may be structurally altered or enlarged, or rebuilt following damage or destruction, provided such alteration, enlargement, or rebuilding complies with the standards set forth in SRC 511.010(f).
- (2) Cease of occupancy of a building or structure for a continued use shall not preclude future use of the building or structure for that use; provided, however, conversion of the building or structure to another use shall thereafter prevent conversion back to that use.

**Response:** The subject property does not contain non-conforming structures. These standards do not apply.

**511.010 Development standards.**

Development within the RS zone must comply with the development standards set forth in this section.

- (a) Lot standards. Lots within the RS zone shall conform to the standards set forth in Table 511-2.

TABLE 511-2. LOT STANDARDS		
Requirement	Standard	Limitations & Qualifications
Lot Area		
Single family	Min. 4,000 sq. ft.	
Lot Width		
All Uses	Min. 40 ft.	
Lot Depth		
Single family and two family	Min. 70 ft.	
	Min. 120 ft.	Applicable to double frontage lots.
	Max. 300% of average lot width	
Street Frontage		
Single family	Min. 40 ft.	
	Min. 30 ft.	Applicable to lots fronting on the turnaround of a cul-de-sac street or the outside curve of a curved street having a radius of 200 feet or less and a direction change of 60 degrees or more.  In no case shall the lot width be less than 40 ft. at the front building setback line.

**Response:** The Preliminary Plans in Exhibit A shows all three residential lots resulting from this PLA application ranging in size from ±30,925 square feet to ±171,200 square feet. Lot widths range from ±167 feet to over ±347 feet. Lot depths range from ±110 feet to over ±466 feet. The adjusted Lot 17 will provide 177.93 feet of frontage on Welcome Way SE. The frontage requirements for the adjusted Lot 17 and remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E are met with a 60-foot-wide access easement benefitting all three Lots.

- (b) Setbacks. Setbacks within the RS zone shall be provided as set forth in Table 511-3.

TABLE 511-3. SETBACKS		
Requirement	Standard	Limitations & Qualifications
Abutting Street Buildings		
All uses	Min. 12 ft.	Applicable along collector or arterial streets.
	Min. 20 ft.	
Interior Front Buildings		
All uses	Min. 12 ft.	
Interior Side Buildings		
Single family	Min. 5 ft.	Applicable to new buildings, other than zero side yard dwellings and townhouses.
	Min. 3 ft.	Applicable to existing buildings, other than zero side yard dwellings and townhouses.
	Per SRC 700.085	Applicable to townhouses.
	Per SRC 700.095	Applicable to zero side yard dwellings.
Interior Rear Buildings		
All uses	Min. 14 ft.	Applicable to any portion of a building not more than 1 story in height.
	Min. 20 ft.	Applicable to any portion of a building greater than 1 story in height.

**Response:** Future buildings on adjusted Lot 17 and the remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E are not included in this application. The setbacks for these will be reviewed with the building permits for the future homes on these lots. The existing buildings exceed the minimum setbacks from the new lot lines on the adjusted Lot 16, as shown in the Preliminary Plans in Exhibit A. The applicable standards under this section are met.

- (c) Lot coverage; height. Buildings and accessory structures within the RS zone shall conform to the lot coverage and height standards set forth in Table 511-4.

TABLE 511-4. LOT COVERAGE; HEIGHT		
Requirement	Standard	Limitations & Qualifications
Lot Coverage		
Buildings and Accessory Structures		
Single family and two family	Max. 60%	
Rear Yard Coverage		
Buildings		
All uses	N/A	
Height		
Buildings		
Single family and two family	Max. 35 ft.	Applicable to new buildings.

	Max. 28 ft. or existing building height, whichever is greater	Applicable to existing buildings.
	Min. 20 ft.	Applicable to any portion of a building greater than 1 story in height.

**Response:** Future buildings on adjusted Lot 17 and the remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E are not included in this application. The setbacks for these lots will be reviewed with the building permits for the future homes. The adjusted lot lines of Lot 16 do not cause the existing improvements to exceed the maximum lot coverage requirement for this Lot. The applicable standards under this section are met.

- (d) Maximum square footage for all accessory structures. In addition to the maximum coverage requirements established in Table 511-4, accessory structures to single family and two family uses shall be limited to the maximum aggregate total square footage set forth in Table 511-5.

**Response:** Future buildings on adjusted Lot 17 and the remaining portion of the legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E are not included in this application. The setbacks for these lots will be reviewed with the building permits for the future homes. The adjusted lot lines of Lot 16 do not cause the existing improvements to exceed the maximum lot coverage requirement for this Lot. The applicable standards under this section are met.

- (e) Garages required.
- (1) Except as otherwise provided in SRC 700.025 for manufactured homes on individual lots, each dwelling constructed after February 8, 2006, within the RS zone shall have, at the time of original construction, a garage that is constructed of like materials and color as the dwelling. The garage may be attached to, or detached from, the dwelling. Nothing in this subsection shall prevent subsequent removal or conversion of the garage, so long as the minimum number of required off-street parking spaces is maintained.
  - (2) Exception to this standard may be made if, at the time of building permit review, the applicant can show that the construction of the dwelling is being provided by a not-for-profit organization to families at or below the City's 60 percent median income level, as defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and provision is made for a minimum of 480 cubic feet of on-site storage within a portion of the dwelling unit, or within a detached accessory structure. Such exemption shall only be made for those dwellings built on lots created through a subdivision.

**Response:** The existing home to be retained on the adjusted Lot 16 has a garage. Therefore, the garage requirement for this lot is met. The other lots are planned to be developed in the future with single family homes. The garage requirements for these lots will be reviewed with building permit applications. This standard is met.

- (f) Development standards for continued uses. Buildings or structures housing a continued use may be structurally altered or enlarged, or rebuilt following damage or destruction, provided such alteration, enlargement, or rebuilding complies with the following standards:

- 
- (1) The altered, enlarged, or rebuilt building or structure shall conform to development standards set forth in this chapter, and to all other applicable provisions of the UDC.
  - (2) Any building or structure altered or enlarged shall not exceed the square footage and height of the original building or structure by more than 20 percent.
  - (3) Any building or structure rebuilt shall be located on the same location on the lot as the original building or structure, or in compliance with the setbacks set forth in Table 511-3. The square footage and height of the rebuilt building or structure shall not exceed the square footage and height of the original building or structure by more than 20 percent.

**Response:** This application does not involve altering, enlarging, or rebuilding any existing structures. These standards do not apply.

Chapter 800 General Development Standards

800.015 Lot standards, generally.

- (a) Buildings to be on a lot. Every building or structure shall be entirely located on a lot. Where two or more lots are under single ownership to accommodate a single development, the entire combined area shall be considered as a single lot for purposes of the UDC. Buildings that are attached at a common property line, but which otherwise meet all requirements of SRC chapter 56 as separate buildings shall be considered as separate buildings for purposes of this subsection.

**Response:** As shown in the Preliminary Plans in Exhibit A, the existing buildings, structures and other improvements will be contained entirely within the adjusted Lot 16. The other adjusted lots are planned to be developed in the future with single family homes. The location of these buildings will be reviewed with building permit applications for the new homes. This standard can be met.

- (b) Side lot lines. As far as is practicable, side lot lines shall run at right angles to the street upon which the lot faces, except that on curved streets they shall be radial to the curve.

**Response:** As shown on the Preliminary Plans in Exhibit A, the side lots lines of the adjusted Lot 17 shall run at right angles to Welcome Way SE. This standard is met.

(\*\*\*)

800.025 Flag lots.

Flag lots are allowed subject to the standards set forth in this section.

- (a) *Lot area.* The lot area of a flag lot shall conform to the lot area standards of the UDC. Lot area shall be calculated exclusive of the flag lot accessway.

**Response:** The adjusted legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E includes ±40,032 square feet of area that exceeds the minimum requirement in the RS zoning district. This area is calculated exclusive of the accessway. This standard is met.

- (b) *Lot dimensions.* The lot dimensions of a flag lot shall conform to the lot dimension standards of the UDC. Lot dimensions shall be calculated exclusive of the flag lot accessway.

**Response:** The adjusted legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E includes a ±314.13-foot maximum depth dimension and a ±167.73



foot width dimension that exceeds the minimum requirement in the RS zoning district. These dimensions are calculated exclusive of the accessway. This standard is met.

- (c) *Flag lot accessways.* Flag lot accessways shall be developed and maintained in conformance with the standards set forth in Table 800-1 and this subsection.

TABLE 800-1. FLAG LOT ACCESSWAY STANDARDS			
Number of Lots Served by Accessway	Maximum Length	Total Width	Paved Width
3-4 lots	400 ft.	Min. 25 ft.	Min. 20 ft.

**Response:** The accessway shown on the PLA Plans in Exhibit A is approximately 381 feet long and will serve three lots (the adjusted legal unit of land described in Volume 281 Page 265 of the Marion County Records in Exhibit E, the adjusted Alderbrook Manor Lot 16, and the adjusted Alderbrook Manor Lot 17) in its final configuration. The accessway is planned with 60-feet of width so that it could be used to accommodate a local public street if the property were to be further divided in the future. This standard is met.

800.040 Special setbacks.

- (a) *Generally.* To afford better light, air, and vision on public streets and to permit the eventual widening of streets without creating nonconforming structures, special setbacks are hereby established. No structures or paving, other than those identified under subsection (d) of this section, shall be placed within a special setback.

**Response:** This application does not involve new buildings. City of Salem Public Works has informed the Applicant that the boundary streets have adequate pavement and right-of-way widths. Therefore, there are no special setbacks that apply to the site. This standard does not apply.

(\*\*\*)

#### IV. Conclusion

The required findings have been made and this written narrative and accompanying documentation demonstrate that the application is consistent with the applicable provisions of the Salem Revised Code. The evidence in the record is substantial and supports approval of the application. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests that the City approve this consolidated Property Line Adjustment application.



## Exhibit A: Site Plans and PLA Maps

---

---

## **Exhibit B: City of Salem Application Form**

---

---

## **Exhibit C: Recorded Deed**

---

---

## **Exhibit D: Preliminary Title Report**

---

---

## **Exhibit E: 1943 Deed, Volume 281 Page 265**

---

---

## **Exhibit F: Alderbrook Manor Plat**

---

---

## Exhibit G: Draft PLA Deeds

---

---

## **Exhibit H: Legal Memo**

---

---



## **Exhibit I: Ordinance Bill No. 8-18**

---

---