Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Address/Tree location 598 18th St Se Salem Tree no. Sheet Tree species Quercus garryana dbh 33 Height 40 Crown spread dia. 40 Assessor(s) Tim Jones Phone, clinometer, Tape Time frame 1 year **Target Assessment** Target number Occupancy rget within 1.5 x Ht. rate Restriction practical? Target description Target protection 1-rare 2 - occasional 3 - frequent 4 - constant L Power & communication N 3 **Site Factors** History of failures Broken limbs in the Trees; Stub's; Poor coits Topography Flat Slope _____ % Aspect____ Site changes None ☑ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe___ Soil conditions Limited volume A Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots 5 90 % Describe Shop floor & Steet Prevailing wind direction N-NE Common weather Strong winds Lice Snow Heavy rain Describe Valley Conditions Tree Health and Species Profile Vigor Low □ Normal 🖾 High □ Foliage None (seasonal) ☐ None (dead) ☐ Normal 50 % Chlorotic % Pests/Biotic Power Company Huckins Abiotic

Species failure profile Branches A Trunk Roots Describe Known for Sudden limb drop & Root **Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Fuli Wind funneling ☐ _ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large Crown density Sparse ☑ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☑ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ _____ Recent or expected change in load factors Power Company Topping Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — LCR <u>50</u>% _5_% overall Unbalanced crown 🔼 Cracks Lightning damage Dead twigs/branches Max. dia. _ Codominant Broken/Hangers Number 2 Max. dia. Weak attachments X ______ Cavity/Nest hole ____% circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures

Similar branches present **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ▲ Cankers/Galls/Burls □ Sapwood damage/decay ▲ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Heartwood decay □ Topped 🔼 Lion-tailed M Reduced Flush cuts Other __ Response growth_ Topped, hen Tailed Condition (s) of concern Impreper Cut's over extended Scaffolding limb Part Size _ Fall Distance _ Part Size _ Fall Distance. Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ — Trunk — — Roots and Root Collar — Dead/Missing bark □ Abnormal bark texture/color Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Stem girdling □ Codominant stems X Included bark Cracks Conks/Mushrooms Dead Decay Sapwood damage/decay ☒ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze □ Ooze Cavity ☐ % circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks ☐ Cut/Damaged roots ☐ Distance from trunk Depth Cavity/Nest hole % circ. Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Lean S Corrected? _____ Response growth -Condition (s) of concern Conk 90% root's covered Response growth ___ Condition (s) of concern ____ Part Size Whole Tree Part Size __ Fall Distance -Fall Distance -Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect Minor □ Moderate □ Significant **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☒ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

Risk Categorization																								
Target (Target number or description)			Tree part			Condition(s) of concern			Likelihood															
									Failure				Impact				Failure & Impa				Consequences			
									Possible	o l	Į.					T	П	IUUIX		a		ŧ	\neg	Risk
Control of the Contro									Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	>	Medium	£	Unlikely	Somewhat	ely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	rating (from
		\dashv							Po	Pre	프	Ver	Low	Me	High	'n	Son	Likely	Ver	Neg	Ā	Sign	Sev	Matrix 2)
Utility Wire		_	Whole tree			conk, limited roud space, Tree health						_					-							4.5
									X						X	_	X						X	MOD
		+							_	_	Н	H	H							H				
		\dashv	-					H	_				H	H	Н					L				
								_	H	L	Н	H	Н	Н			L						Ц	
					+			_	_	-		-			H	_	L	_		H		_		
		\dashv						H	H	_	Н	H	Н		Н	H	Н	Н	H					
								H	H	H	Н	H			Н	H	H	Н	Н	H	Н			
					+			_	H	H	Н	H	H	_	Н	H	H	Н	Н			_	\dashv	
		-						-		-	H	H	Н		Н	H	Н	Н	Н	H		-	-	
								H		H	_	H	Н		Н	Н	Н	H	Н	H	Н	-		Mark San
Matrix I. Likel	ihood n	natri	ix.			10				-	+			+	+		-	-			+	-		
Likelihood					ood o	ood of Impact				-	+	-		+	_		-	_			+	4	-	
of Failure Imminent	Very lo					Medium High Likely Very likely																		
Probable	Unlike					newhat likely	Likely																	
Possible	Unlike	-	Unlikely			Unlikely	Somewhat like	ly		-				T							T			
						Unlikely			-	+			+	+		-	+			+	+			
Matrix 2. Risk		natr	ix.								+	-		+	+		-	+			+	-		
Likelihood Failure & Im		Negligible Minor				uences of Failure Significant Severe				10 0				_	_			_			1	4		
Very like		Low		Moderate		High	Severe Extreme																	
Likely			Low Modera			High	High				1	1		1	ı		1	1			1	1		1 1
	Somewhat likely		Low Low			Moderate Moderate															No	orth		
Unlikely Low Low					V	Low	Low																	
Notes, expla	nation	s, d	escriptio	ons																				
- Rut)
- Cank																								
- Power 1	tne.	bur	chered					_							1				1					
Mitigation	ntions							-			4					-						The same of		
Mitigation options 1. Remove & Stamp														R	Residual risk									
2													to the late.	-X-0-1										
3																		1500 100	_ R	esid	ual	risk		
4														R										
Overall tree				1020			. High □ Ex																	
F							High □ Ex																	
			- C				ed MNo □Yes																	
Inspection li	mitatio	ns D	None E]Visibili	tv 🗖	Access DVi	nes Root col	lar b	urie	d D	escri	ibe												