			an and the											
			1		Risk Ca	egorizat	ion	Like	lihood				_	
	(Targe	Parget et number scription)	Tree part	Col	ndition(s) concern	Improbable Possible	able Inent	Iow	Dact	Failure 8 (from N bewyet	Almpact (otrix 1)	Consequ	t	Risk rating
	Vehic	les	Branches	Failur Cause	e could damage.	-1 of	O Prob	Very	Med	o Son	Like	Neg	O Significa Severe	(from Matrix 2) Mod -
•	People		/Spars	Failur	e could	H	0	Ħ	0	0			0	
	Pets			cause	injurg				Ĥ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ť	Mod
				1	k		0		0	C		H	0	Mod
ł	Fence			Failure Cause	could		0		0			H	0	Mad
L	atrix I. Likeliho		Y	cut, c				H						
L	ikelihood		Likelihood				1					1	11	11
In	nminent Ur		Low mewhat likely	Medium Likely	High Very likely									
	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	likely likely	Unlikely Sor Unlikely	newhat likely Unlikely	Likely Somewhat like		-							
	AND VALUE	likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	··Y								
	ix 2. Risk ratin celihood of	g matrix.				_	-		1					
	ire & Impact	Negligit		Significant	Severe	-	-							
	ery likely	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme		-							
and the second second	Likely what likely	Low	Moderate	High	High				1	1			1	
	nlikely	Low	Low	Moderate Low	Moderate Low	-							No	rth
-In	gt W	s, descrip hown uld /	tions large ead to	deca brano ¹	y caviti	<u>e</u> s 			•			(
Mitigatio	n options	and .	mad			-		_			•		/	
	nore a	auri	Charles and the											risk
	1 1 1	2. 6. 194		1000			1							l risk Il risk
					1	1. P								al risk
erall resi a □Final		None	Low D Mo Low D Mo anced assessme Visibility DAcce	derate 🗆	High 🛛 Ext	reme 🗆 ype/Re	Reason					interva	al	
ection lin	manons L	None L	ISIDILITY LACCO	ess LiVines	LIRoot colla	r burie	d Desc	cribe .						

Messagerits Average the lapet description The effect description The forme of the second description Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Science Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Science Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Image description Science Assessment Target Assessment Target Assessment Target Assest	Address/Tree location PTC) backs of NE	Date 4/23/2025 Time 7:07 pm Tree no Sheet of	
Target Assessment Market Unit Assessment Market Unit Market Unit Assessment Prevailing wind direction Market Unit Market Unit Market Unit View Unit Operative Field Colspan="2">Namet Colspan="2">Assessment Wark datumet Colspan="2">Operative Field Colspan="2">Namet Colspan="2">Assessment View Unit Namet Colspan="2">Namet Colspan="2">Namet Colspan="2" Namet Colspan="2"	Address/Tree location 875 Liberty St NE Tree species Big Laaf Mapie dbh Assessorial Dyran Coming Tork	37 ¹¹ Height 45 ¹ Crown spread dia. 30 ¹	
Image Target electric Target presention Target presentio			
Image: Second	Target description	5 5 5 5 Occupancy 5 5 5 5	
Image: Second construction of the second consecond conserver construction of the second constructi		「「「「」」」「「「」」」「「」」」」」「「」」」」」」」「「」」」」」」」	
Image: stature in the image: stature in the image: stature in the image: stature in the image: stature image:	Venicies		
Site Factors Topography Flatt Stope::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	3 Pets		
Site changes None G Grade changen: Site clearing: Changed soil hydrology: Book cuts: Dispected	Site F		
Soli conditions: Limited volume of starated of shallow D compacted D pavement over roots of 2.0 % Describe Prevailing wind direction \lambda common weather strong winds Lice D snow D Heavy rain D Describe Medera, 16 C Guin, dr.g. Str. 44 Prevailing wind direction \lambda common weather strong winds D lece Brokel Tree Health and Species Profile Were Low D Normal B High D Foliage None (seasonal) D Normal D Normal S Chlorotic % Necrotic		Topography Flats Slope% Aspect%	
Tree Health and Species Profile Viger Low Normal B High Foliage None (seasonal) B None (dead) Normal % Chlorotic % Necrotic % Species failure profile Branches B Trunk Roots Describe Large b DrAncNeS / SpArS Nexve d.ed. Unde aposure Protected Partial Full B Wind funneling Crown density Spars B Normal Dense Interior branches Few B Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss Recent or expected change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure Unbalanced crown LCR % Normal Max.dia. Urbalanced branches IS % overall Pruning history Codominant Crown cleaned Thinned Reduced Thinned Reduced Thinned Reduced Thinned Reduced Other Pruning history Similar branches present B Crown cleaned Thinned Full Distance Similar branches Part Size Fail Distance Part Size Fail Distance Codominant sterns Included bark texture/color Load on defect N/A Minor Moderate Significant Load on defect N/A Minor	Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted	Pavement over roots 2 20 % Describe	
Pests/Biotic Abloit Species failure profile Branches II Truk Roots Describe Larg S Species failure profile Branches II Norwall Describe Larg S Relative crown size Small Medium II Larg D Crown density Sparse IN Normal Dense Interior branches Few G Normal Dense Vines/Mistetoe/Moss			
Species failure profile Branches II Trunk Boots Describe Large how (ed.) Load Factors Wind exposure Protected Partial Public Wind funneling Relative crown size Small Medium II Large Provided Failure Crown density Sparse IN Normal Dense Interior branches Few IN Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss I Relative crown size Small Medium II Large Provided Failure Recent or expected change in load factors Interior branches Few IN Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss I Interior branches Few IN Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss I Unbalanced crown I ICR ** Crown and Branches - Ughtning damage I Unbalanced crown I IS * koverall Max. dia. 10 ¹ Codominant D Included bark I Dead twigs/branches I IS * koverall Max. dia. 10 ¹ Codominant D Included bark I Over-extended branches I Pruning history Crown change branche failures I Similar branches present V Dead/Missing bark ID Cankers/Galk/Burls ID Sapwood damage/decay ID Prush cuts Other Fail Distance Fail Distance Fail Distance Fail Distance Part Size Fail Distance Part Size Fail Distance Fail Sap ooze I Colar ondefect N/A Minor I Moderate IS Significant I Load on defect N/A Abnormal bark t	Pests/Biotic /	Abiotic	
Wind exposure Protected Partial Full Full Wind funneling Relative crown size Small Medium & Large Crown density Sparse & Normal Dense Interior branches Few & Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss Recent or expected change in load factors	Species failure profile Branches A Trunk Roots Describe	e branchos/spars have died	
Recent or expected change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — Unbalanced crown [] 10% Crocks []			
Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — Crown and Branches — Crown and Branches — Crown and Branches — Crown and Branches — Crown and Branches — Crown dear twig/branches © Pruning history Cown deard © Thinned © Raised @ Pruning history Crown deared © Thinned © Raised @ Pruning history Dead/Missing bark © Calks © Similar branches present @ Pruning history Dead/Missing bark © Calks © Conks © Max. dia. Cold Calks © Similar branches present @ Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Cold an defect <th c<="" th=""><th></th><th>Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss D</th></th>	<th></th> <th>Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss D</th>		Normal Dense Vines/Mistletoe/Moss D
Image: Second S		ffecting the Likelihood of Failure	
Dead twigs/branches g 15 st overall Max dia. 10 Codominant □ Included bark □ Broken/Hangers Number Max dia. Wax dia. Weak attachments □ Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Over-extended branches Fruning history Similar branches present @ Dead/Missing bark □ Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Orer-extended branches Topped Lion-tailed Response growth Similar branches present @ Pruning history Cown cleaned Thinned Raised Conks □ Heartwood decay © Crown cleaned Topped Lion-tailed Response growth Heartwood decay © Response growth Plush cuts 0 Other Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Incuded bark □ Croks □ Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible © Probable □ Imminent □ Likelihood of failure Improbable □ Possible © Imminent □ Likelihood of failure Improbable Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Stem girdling □<			
Broken/Hargers Number			
Over-extended branches			
Crown deaned Thinned Raised Dead/Missing bark Cankers/Galis/Burk Sapwood damage/decay Significant Paccay Could Calls's Dranch Fail Fail Part Size Fail			
representation Interfactor Pressionse growth Plush cuts Other Calls's branch failure Response growth Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Part Size Fall Distance Lidelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay Conks/Mushrooms Sapwood damage/decay Cankers/Galls/Burls Sap ooze Ooze Cavity			
Decay_could_cause_branch_failue_condition(s) of concern Part Size Fall Distance Load on defect N/A □ Minor Moderate Libelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Included bark Cracks Codominant stems Included bark Cavity/Nest hole Conks/Mushrooms Cavity/Nest hole Conks/Mushrooms Cavity/Nest hole Y<5 leapone growth Condition(s) of concern			
Part Size Fall Distance Load on defect N/A Minor Moderate Significant Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent Trunk	Decay could cause branch failure condition		
Load on defect N/A I Minor Moderate & Significant I Likelihood of failure Improbable Prosable Imminent I			
Likelihood of failure Improbable Prosable Imminent Likelihood of failure Improbable Prosable Probable Imminent Imminent Trunk Trunk Roots and Root Collar Collar buried/Not visible Depth Stem girdling Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay Side Conks/Mushrooms Collar buried/Not visible Depth Stem girdling Sapwood damage/decay Cankers/Galls/Burls Sap ooze Ooze Cavity % circ Lightning damage Heartwood decay Side Conks/Mushrooms Cracks Cut/Damaged roots Distance from trunk ean 45.* Corrected? V<5			
Dead/Missing bark Abnormal bark texture/color Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Sapwood damage/decay Cankers/Galls/Burls Sap ooze Lightning damage Heartwood decay Conks/Mushrooms Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Depth	Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible I Probable IImminent	Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent	
Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay M Conks/Mushrooms Sapwood damage/decay Cankers/Galls/Burls Sap ooze Ooze Cavity % circ. Lightning damage Heartwood decay Conks/Mushrooms Cracks Cut/Damaged roots Distance from trunk % circ. Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Depth <u>uak noive</u> Poor taper Root plate lifting Soil weakness lesponse growth	— Trunk —	- Roots and Root Collar -	
Sapwood damage/decay Cankers/Galls/Burls Sap ooze Ooze Cavity			
Lightning damage Heartwood decay Conks/Mushrooms Cracks Cut/Damaged roots Distance from trunk Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Depth unk noive Poor taper ean 45 * Corrected? Y<5			
Cavity/Nest hole 20 % circ. Depth unk noiwn Poor taper Root plate lifting Soil weakness ean 45 * Corrected? Y < 5		and the second	
ean <u>45</u> • Corrected? <u>Ves</u> Response growth condition (s) of concern Condition (s) of concern			
condition (s) of concern Condition (s) of concern			
ondition (s) of concern	sponse growth		
Art Size Fail Distance Part Size Fail Distance	Arl	A LOCAL CONTRACTOR AND ADD IN A SHARE AND A LOCAL ADD AND A SHARE AND A SHARE ADD AND AND A SHARE ADD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	
		Load on defect N/A Minor Moderate Significant Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent	
elihood of failure Improbable Possible 🗹 Probable 🗆 Imminent 🗆 📈 Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible 🔂 Probable 🗆 Immine	ihood of failure Improbable LI Possible LI Probable LI Imminent LI		

Authorization by property owner(s)/applicant

Joeen

*If the applicant and/or property owner is a Limited Liability Company (LLC), please also provide a list of all members of the LLC with your application.

Copyright release for government entities: I hereby grant permission to the City of Salem to copy, in whole or part, drawings and all other materials submitted by me, my agents, or representatives. This grant of permission extends to all copies needed for administration of the City's regulatory, administrative, and legal functions, including sharing of information with other governmental entities.

Electronic signature certification: By attaching an electronic signature (whether typed, graphical or free form) I certify herein that I have read, understood and confirm all the statements listed above and throughout the application form.

Print Name: Aver

Authorized Signature:

Submittal requirements

- 1) Site Plan: Identify which trees are proposed for preservation and removal, including tree size, existing buildings/development, and waterways.
- 2) Written Statement and/or Arborist Report: The written statement or arborist report shall address the approval criteria in SRC 808.030(d) (Ex. hazardous tree, required for building maintenance, water dependent activity, and riparian restoration).
- 3) Additional items that may be submitted or requested: Statement from a structural engineer, pictures; additional items required for riparian restoration projects; any other information that may aid in the review of this application.

Appeal and review

The decision on a Tree Removal Permit shall be the final decision of the City, may not be appealed, and is not subject to City Council Review under SRC 300.1050.

2025

Date: 4/23

	lem				Tree Removal Application
0	AT YOUR SERVICE			(For c	Approximation office use only)
City Hall / 555 L 503-588-6173 * J	mit Application Center iberty St. SE / Room 320 / Salem, <u>Janning@cityofsalem.net</u> Howing translated in Spanish, please lo siguiente traducido en español, po	e call 503-588-6256	88-6256.	Permi	it #:
Work site lo	eet address or location of su	bject property	875 13	perty St N	JE, Salem, 0R 97301
			0.25 Acr	e	
	Planning A	ction Involved			
	avery transferrer			Charles . 64	CAN DE LA CALLER
People infor		Full N	lailing Add	ress	Phone Number and Email address
Applicant	A Com	GO9 Toses	phine Ct	F Monmouth	Email address S05-989-9629 avery@greenvalleytreecon tomasacalienes@gmail.
	Avery Green	-101 Josep	Mire 31.	LJUN IDEI	tomesaralienes@gmail
Agent	Tomasa Calieres				
Contact	the same search and and the sector and		an a		
Project infor	mation		-	O Yes ON]
	Do you own co	ntiguously-owne building permi	involved	O Yes ON	0
(Tree means ar height, typically w dbh, and po	How many t ny living, standing, woody plant th ith one main stem called a trunk, ssesses an upright arrangement	rees are on the phat grows to 15 fee which is 10 inches of branches and lo 8	t or more in s or more in eaves (SRC 08.005(n))).	2	
	How many trees are How many trees are pro	proposed for	removal? ervation?	1	1
Are trees w	vithin a riparian corridor ridor boundary is measured 50 f	eet horizontally fro	m the top of River, which	O Yes Ø	No
Dank on each sid	e of a stream with the exception measures 75 feet ho getation within a riparia	n corridor pro	posed for	O Yes Ø	No
	vegetation can be found in the	Tree and Vegetati Manual (SRC	on Technical 808.005(o)).		(
	Ana haritage trees	proposed for	removal?	O Yes	O No
Significant tre	Are significant trees e means rare, threatened, or ei ted under State and Federal lav al manual and Oregon white or passion of troe that is at least	ndangered trees ws and included in	of any size at		

12/29/2022