Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Address/Tree location Tree species <u>Sequojadendan</u> giganteum dbh Height 105 __ Crown spread dia. 45 Assessor(s) Tim Junes Tools used Tape, Phone, Clineneter Time frame 1 year **Target Assessment** Target zone Target number get within 1 Occupancy Target within drip line
Target within 1x Ht. Practical to move target? rate Restriction practical? **Target description** 1-rare **Target protection** 2 - occasional Target 3 - frequent 4 - constant Surrounding humes None 2 3 **Site Factors** History of failures Top brake out impacted home Topography Flat Slope□ _____ % Aspect_ Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots Mescribe Backyard of provide Prevailing wind direction N-NE Common weather Strong winds A Ice Snow Heavy rain Describe Valley Conditions Tree Health and Species Profile None (dead) □ Normal 98 % Chlorotic % Vigor Low □ Normal B High □ Foliage None (seasonal) Pests/Biotic Abiotic Species failure profile Branches
☐ Trunk ☐ Roots ☐ Describe Imb **Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☒ Wind funneling ☐ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large 🗵 Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal Ď Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal Ď Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ _____ Recent or expected change in load factors Broken Top Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — Unbalanced crown Cracks _____ Lightning damage □ Dead twigs/branches □ % overall Max. dia. Codominant 🖾 ____ Included bark Broken/Hangers Number Cavity/Nest hole____% circ. Weak attachments □ Over-extended branches Previous branch failures Docker Top Similar branches present

Docker Top Similar branches

Docker Top Si **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Conks Heartwood decay Reduced Topped □ Lion-tailed □ Response growth _ Flush cuts Other _ Severe wound in Sten. Condition (s) of concern home Fall Distance . Part Size -Part Size _ Fall Distance -N/A 🗆 Minor □ Moderate□ Significant 💆 Load on defect Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor □ Moderate□ SignificantX Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ — Trunk — - Roots and Root Collar -Dead/Missing bark □ Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth____ Stem girdling Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay Conks/Mushrooms □ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Ooze Cavity 🗆 _____ % circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks ☐ Cut/Damaged roots ☐ Distance from trunk Cavity/Nest hole % circ. Depth____ Poor taper Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Response growth ----Response growth __ Condition (s) of concern ____ Condition (s) of concern 4 coduminate Attachments Part Size 30-40 Part Size -Fall Distance _

Load on defect

N/A 🗆

Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Significant 🖸

Load on defect

N/A 🗆

Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐

						Risk Ca	tego	rizat	ior	1													
						Likelihood																	
-	_							Fail	ure		Impact				Failure & Impact				Consequences				
Target (Target number or description)		Tre	e part		Condition(s) of concern			Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	ely	Somewhat	rikely Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating (from Matrix 2)
			1 : 10		Deur Attachment				Г		F		Ē		Ē				Ē		0,	0,	Triderix 2,
Homes		- codominate - Stems			Pour Attachments unbalanced loads			X		F				X		X				F		X	MUD
						Ţ.		L															
	S-25-90							L		+	L					L		H	L				
				1																			
							-	L	L														
				\dagger			200		_	H		-	Н	H		_			H	Н	_		
Matrix I. Likel	ihood ma	atrix.								+	_		-	-		-	+			+	+		+-+
Likelihood of Failure				ood	od of Impact					+			_	-		_	+			-	_		
Imminent	Very lov Unlikely				Medium Likely	High Very likely				_				4									
Probable	Unlikely				newhat likely	Likely																	
Possible	Unlikely				Unlikely Somewhat like		ely			+				1						+			
Improbable	Unlikely	/ Unli	kely		Unlikely	Unlikely				+			+	+		-	+	_		+	-		
Matrix 2. Risk	rating m	atrix.								_			_	4			_			1	_		
Likelihood		Conseq			uences of Failure																		
Failure & Impact		Negligible Mino		or	Significant	Severe														T			
Very likely		Low Mode			High	Extreme	•						+	+		-	+			+	+		-
Likely	ikoly	Low	Low Moderat		e High High Moderate Moderate		0													No	orth		
Somewhat likely Unlikely		Low	Lov		Low Low						-			Ī									
Notes, expla	nations	, description	ons			н												/					
- Recent			leavir	19	home i	an livable)
	routh		n	1	1 11	, ,																	
Signific Several		in im			ne att	achmen 5																	
Mitigation o	ptions	101																					
1. Remo		Stump	Gil	d														R	esid	ual	risk	1	kre
2		,																R	esid	ual	risk	1	
3																		_ R	esid	ual	risk		
4																		_ R	esid	ual	risk		
Overall tree	risk rati				,	l High 🗆 E																	
	Overall residual risk None Low □ Moderate □ High □ Ext Data Final □ Preliminary Advanced assessment needed No □Yes-1									eme Recommended inspection interval													
						ed ⊅ No □Yes nes □Root co																	
mspection in	intations	Winone L	- VISIDIII	Ly L	IACCESS LIVII	ies Proof co	ııdı D	urie	u l	Jescr	ine				1	Indiana de al			-		No.		