

555 Liberty Street SE / Room 305 • Salem OR 97301-3503 • Phone 503-588-6213 • Fax 503-588-6025 www.cityofsalem.net/planning • www.cityofsalem.net

> March 5, 2024 2nd Round – May 16, 2024

LAND USE APPLICATION - COMPLETENESS REVIEW

Project Information

| Subject Property: | 676 17 th Street SE |
|----------------------------|---|
| Reference Number: | 24-103565-PLN |
| Application Type: | Class 3 Site Plan Review and Class 1 Design Review |
| Date Application Accepted: | February 7, 2024 |
| Applicant: | Servando Garcia S & V Garcia Investments LLC PO Box 21444 8135 River Road NE Keizer, OR 97307-1444 estimating@sunsetstuccollc.com |
| Contact: | Ronald Ped 6850 Burnett Street SE Salem, OR 97317 rjp@rktect.com |

Staff Contact

| Land Use Planner: | Aaron Panko, Planner III |
|-------------------------|---|
| | apanko@cityofsalem.net / 503-540-2356 |
| Infrastructure Planner: | Laurel Christian, Utility Planner II |
| | Ichristian@cityofsalem.net / 503-584-4632 |

Land Use Review Comments

Prior to deeming your applications complete, modifications and/or additional information must be provided to address items detailed below.

Applicant should provide a response in the last column for each item or indicate if the item is not being provided. Items not addressed or provided may result in conditions of approval or denial of the land use application.

Your application, which is incomplete, will be deemed complete upon receipt of one of the following:

(1) All of the missing information.

- (2) Some of the missing information and written notice from you (the applicant) that no other information will be provided.
- (3) Written notice from you (the applicant) that none of the missing information will be provided.

You have 180 days (August 5, 2024) from the date the application was first submitted (February 7, 2024) to respond in one of the three ways listed above, or the application will be deemed void.

The Salem Revised Code may be accessed online at the following location: https://www.cityofsalem.net/Pages/salem-revised-code.aspx

| Completeness Review Items | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 0 1 111 1 D | | |
| | nts - The following items have been identified as | required material to be |
| provided by the applic | cation(s) prior to deeming the application "complete": | |
| Submittal | Description | Applicant Response |
| Requirement | Booonphon | ie. Written Response, |
| - requirement | | Submitted, Not Providing |
| Pre-Application | Design Review applications require mandatory pre- | |
| Conference | application conferences. Per SRC 300.300(c)(4)(A), | |
| | mandatory pre-application conferences shall be held | |
| Submitted | within 18 months of the date of application submittal. | |
| | | |
| | Please request another pre-application conference or | |
| | submit a request for a pre-application waiver. | |
| Site Plan 220.005(e) | Per SRC 220.005(e)(1)(C), a tree plan, of a size and | |
| Not addressed. | form and in the number of copies meeting the | |
| Existing conditions | standards established by the Planning Administrator, | |
| plan is required to | containing the following information: | |
| show the location of | (i) The total site area, dimensions, and | |
| all existing trees, | orientation relative to north; | |
| indicating their | (ii) The location of all existing trees, indicating | |
| species, DBH, | their species, DBH, critical root zone, and | |
| critical root zone, | whether they will be preserved or removed; | |
| and whether they | (iii) The location of all new trees proposed to be | |
| will be preserved or | planted on the development site, indicating | |
| removed. | their species and caliper at the time of | |
| | planting; | |
| | (iv) The perimeter and soil depth of all | |
| | proposed tree planting areas; | |
| | (v) The location of all existing and proposed | |
| | primary and accessory structures; | |
| | (vi) The location of all existing and proposed | |
| | parking and vehicle use areas; and | |
| | (vii) For developments that include more than | |
| | one-half acre of new off-street surface | |
| | parking, the tree plan shall include the | |
| | expected tree canopy area after 15 years | |
| | for all trees. | |
| | A top a whom we atting the many is a first of the state o | |
| | A tree plan meeting the requirements of this section | |
| 111 111 Of 1 | was not provided in the application materials. | |
| Written Statement | Please include a response to all applicable | |
| (SPR) | development standards and all approval criteria in the | |
| Submitted | written statements. | |

| | The written statement does not address applicable | |
|--|--|--|
| | development standards found in SRC Chapters 514, | |
| | 702, 800, 806, 807, and 808. | |
| Class 2 Driveway | The proposed driveway approach will be subject to the | |
| Approach Permit | Class 2 Driveway Approach requirements described in | |
| | SRC 804. The applicant shall submit the applicable | |
| Submitted | application and fee. | |
| Vision Clearance | The proposed fencing along the driveway approach | |
| Fencing in the vision | may not meet vision clearance standards established | |
| clearance area will | in SRC 805. The applicant shall demonstrate how | |
| be conditioned to be | vision clearance standards are met. | |
| 30-inches or less | | |
| per <u>SRC 805.010.</u> | | |
| Floodplain | An existing floodway is located on the subject property | |
| Development | as designated on the Federal Emergency Management | |
| Not addressed; | Agency (FEMA) floodplain maps. Development within | |
| however, staff will condition removal of | the floodplain requires a floodplain development permit and is subject to the requirements of SRC 601. | |
| the encroachment if | | |
| the applicant cannot | Development within the floodway, including any | |
| obtain a No-Rise | excavation, grading, fill, or pavement, or structures, is | |
| Certification per | not permitted. The applicant should revise the site plan | |
| SRC | to remove encroachments into the floodway. | |
| 601.075(d)(1)(A). | · | |
| Stormwater | It is unclear from the submitted plans whether or not | |
| Management and/or | the proposal meets the definition of a large project | |
| Design Exception | pursuant to SRC 70.005. The applicant shall submit a | |
| | written finding regarding the definition of large project | |
| Not addressed, see | and new/replaced impervious surfaces pursuant to | |
| note. | SRC 70.005. If the proposal meets the definition of a | |
| | large project the applicant shall provide a storm drainage system that provides treatment and flow | |
| | control as required by the 2014 PWDS. Staff | |
| | measurements of new impervious area indicate the | |
| | project may exceed the 10,000 sq. ft. threshold. | |
| | project may exceed the reject equit in the contract | |
| | NOTE: The applicant's impervious calculation does | |
| | not include new pervious surface in the alley, | |
| | which will be solely used for this development. | |
| | Additionally, the applicant has measured building | |
| | footprint while the impervious area includes roof | |
| | eaves. Based on these considerations staff has | |
| | determined this is a "large project" and will require | |
| | GSI. The applicant shall either set aside an area of | |
| | the site that equals 10-percent of the new impervious surface, or provide a stormwater report | |
| | demonstrating compliance with SRC 71, and Public | |
| | Works Design Standards (PWDS) Appendix 4E. | |
| Replat Submittal | The applicants written statement indicates a replat will | |
| Items | be consolidated with this land use application; | |
| | however, the applicant has not provided any materials | |
| | for the replat. The applicant shall submit all information | |
| | listed in <u>SRC 205.025(c).</u> | |

Advisory Comments

<u>Items of Concern</u> - The following items are not listed in the SRC as specific requirements for a complete application; however, are advisories that address areas of concern on the application. Failure to address advisory comments could result in condition of approval or denial of the application(s).

| advisory comments social result in containon or approval or domai or the application (c). | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Item | Description | Applicant Response |
| | | ie. Written Response, |
| | Observation 544 DM II (Mark) For the District Control of the | Submitted, Not Providing |
| D14 II 7 - | Chapter 514 – RM-II (Multi-Family Residential) Zone | |
| RM-II Zone – Zone | SRC 514.010(d), Table 514-4 and Table 514-5 | |
| to zone setbacks | requires a minimum 10-foot setback to the abutting RS zoned property to the east. No vehicle use area | |
| Adjustment | setback is provided. Please revise the site plan to | |
| Submitted | comply with the minimum standard, or request an | |
| | adjustment. | |
| RM-II Zone – | SRC 514.010(d), Table 514-4 requires a minimum | |
| Building Setbacks | building setback of 20 feet for the proposed 35' tall | |
| abutting a street | buildings. Proposed buildings are setback | |
| Adjustment | approximately 13 feet from 17 th Street SE and | |
| Submitted | approximately 12 feet from Oak Street SE. Please | |
| | revise the plans to comply with minimum standard. Chapter 702 – Design Review | |
| 702 045(b)(4) | <u> </u> | |
| 702.015(b)(1) – Landscaping | Where a development site abuts property that is zoned RS (Single Family Residential), a combination | |
| Standards | of landscaping and screening shall be provided to | |
| Not addressed. | buffer between the multi-family residential | |
| | development and abutting RS zoned property. | |
| | | |
| | Screening includes: a minimum of one tree for every | |
| | 30 linear feet of abutting property width and a | |
| | minimum six foot tall, decorative, sight obscuring | |
| | fence or wall. | |
| | No screening is proposed along the eastern property | |
| | line where the subject property abuts RS zoned | |
| | property. Please revise the site plan to demonstrate | |
| | compliance with the standard. | |
| 702.015(d)(1) - | To minimize the visual impact of on-site parking and | |
| Parking and Site | to enhance the pedestrian experience, off-street | |
| Design Standards | surface parking areas and vehicle maneuvering | |
| Not addressed. | areas shall be located behind or beside buildings | |
| Proposed configuration does | and structures. Off-street surface parking areas and vehicle maneuvering areas shall not be located | |
| not comply, an | between a building or structure and a street. | |
| Adjustment to this | bothoon a banding of orthotale and a street. | |
| standard will not be | Off-street parking spaces are proposed between the | |
| supported. | ground level of the northern most building and Oak | |
| | Street SE. Please adjust the orientation of the | |
| | building and parking so parking spaces are not | |
| | proposed between the ground floor and abutting | |
| | street. | |

| | I — - · | |
|---|--|--|
| 702.015(d)(2) - | To ensure safe pedestrian access to and throughout a | |
| Parking and Site | development site, pedestrian pathways shall be | |
| Design Standards | provided that connect to and between buildings, | |
| Not addressed. | common open space, and parking areas, and that | |
| | connect the development to the public sidewalks. | |
| | Pedestrian pathways shall be a minimum of five feet | |
| | in width. | |
| | | |
| | A pedestrian pathway connection to the southern | |
| | most parking spaces and solid waste service area is | |
| | required. | |
| | Chapter 800 – General Development Standards | |
| 800.055 Solid waste | SRC 800.055(e)(1) - The proposed front opening | |
| service areas | does not comply with the minimum 12 foot standard. | |
| Okay | | |
| Olay | SRC 800.055(f)(1)(A) – Vehicle operation area does | |
| | not appear to comply with minimum dimensions. | |
| | 1 F | |
| | SRC 800.055(f)(1)(E) and SRC 800.055(f)(2) - | |
| | Turnaround not provided for solid waste service | |
| | vehicles. Need to demonstrate that vehicles will not | |
| | be required to back onto a public street to leave the | |
| | premises. | |
| | Chapter 806 – Off-Street Parking | |
| Vehicle Use Areas | SRC 806.035(f) | |
| - Perimeter | Off-street parking area access and maneuvering. | |
| Setbacks & | on once panning and access and mannear ching. | |
| Vehicle | No vehicle turnaround area is provided within the | |
| | proposed off-street parking area. Please submit a | |
| Turnaround | revised site plan demonstrating compliance with | |
| Okay | minimum turnaround requirements. | |
| Vehicle Use Area | SRC 806.035(c)(5) | |
| Setback Adjacent | Where an off-street parking or vehicular use area is | |
| to Buildings and | located adjacent to a building or structure, the off- | |
| | street parking or vehicular use area shall be setback | |
| Structures Not addressed. | from the exterior wall of the building or structure by a | |
| | minimum five-foot-wide landscape strip, planted to | |
| Vehicle use area | the Type A standard set forth in SRC chapter 807, or | |
| proposed within five feet of exterior wall. | by a minimum five-foot-wide paved pedestrian | |
| reet of exterior wall. | walkway. | |
| Electric Vehicle | SRC 806.015(d) | |
| Service Capacity | () | |
| Okay | any newly constructed building with five or more | |
| Olay | dwelling units on the same lot, including buildings | |
| | with a mix of residential and nonresidential uses, a | |
| | minimum of 40 percent of the off-street parking | |
| | spaces provided on the site for the building shall be | |
| | designated as spaces to serve electrical vehicle | |
| | charging. In order to comply with this subsection, | |
| | such spaces shall include provisions for electrical | |
| | service capacity, as defined in ORS 455.417. | |
| | Please show which units will be wired for electrical | |
| | service capacity and show compliance on the utility | |
| | | |
| | plan. | |

Bicycle Parking Okay

SRC 806.055 requires one space per unit. A minimum of 12 bicycle parking spaces are required for the proposed development. Please demonstrate compliance with this section.

If bicycle parking is proposed within units, please show locations on the floor plans that comply with required dimensions. If proposed outside, please show on site plan.

Chapter 807 - Landscaping and Screening & Chapter 808 - Preservation of Trees and Vegetation

SRC 808.020 Trees and Native Vegetation in Riparian Corridors Not addressed. Additional details required on existing conditions plan and site plan demonstrating compliance with tree protection requirements.

No person shall remove a tree in a riparian corridor or native vegetation in a riparian corridor. Evidence from a certified arborist or landscape architect shall be provided demonstrating no trees or native vegetation will be removed from the riparian area.

Per SRC 808.046, any trees or native vegetation required to be preserved shall be protected during construction with the installation of an above ground silt fence, or its equivalent. The above ground silt fence shall encompass 100 percent of the critical root zone of the tree.

Critical root zone means the circular area beneath a tree established to protect the tree's trunk, roots, branches, and soil to ensure the health and stability of the tree. The critical root zone measures one-foot in radius for every one-inch of dbh of the tree or, as an alternative for non-significant trees, may be specifically determined by an arborist.

Additional Items of Concern

Wetlands and/or Hydric Soils

WLUN to be sent by the City. Nothing required from applicant at this time. There are Salem-Keizer Local Wetland Inventory linear channel wetlands and/or hydric soils mapped on the subject property. The applicant shall contact the Oregon Department of State Lands to verify if any permits are required for future development. The applicant is required to verify mapped wetland area(s). Once the application is deemed complete, Public Works will send Wetlands Notice to the Department of State Lands, as required by SRC 809.025.

Primary Alley Access

Pavement shown.

As a condition of utilizing the alley for primary access for employee parking, the applicant is required to widen the alley approach in order to provide adequate circulation, the applicant should revised the plans to show improvements to the alley.

| Open | Pursuant to Public Works Design Standards (PWDS) | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Channel/Drainage Easements | 1.8(d), the application is subject to open channel | |
| Easements | drainage easements to be dedicated along the creek, allowing for access and maintenance. The easement | |
| Not shown. Will be | width shall be either the 100-year floodway boundary, | |
| listed as a condition | 15-feet from the channel centerline, or 10-feet from the | |
| to remove | top of the recognized bank, whichever is greatest. | |
| parking/obstructions | | |
| from this area. | The applicant shows structures and parking within the | |
| | easement area and this is not permitted. The plans | |
| | should be updated to remove obstructions from | |
| | easements and the floodway. | |
| Lot Legality and | The survey section has previously reviewed the | |
| Configuration | information submitted for this site. The subject property | |
| Outstanding. Replat | appears to be made up of multiple units of land under separate ownership. The buildings and improvements | |
| items needed. | appear to cross internal property lines. The applicant | |
| items needed. | should indicate if a replat application will be | |
| | consolidated with this application or filed for separately | |
| | and conditioned. | |
| | | |
| | Comments from Survey section attached. | |