## Top Tier Tree Care llc

2052 Northtree Dr. NE
Keizer, Oregon 97303
(541) 409-6763
toptiertreecare@gmail.com
Certified TRAQ Arborist PN-8196A
CCB 22823



4880 Jones Rd, Salem, Oregon

Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)

East side of the property

## Tree Characteristics:

- Diameter at Breast Height (DBH): 46 inches
- Structure: The Black Walnut exhibits two large co-dominant stems.
- Health Concerns: Service records from another tree service suggest evidence of Thousand Cankers Disease, a serious health issue affecting walnut trees.
- Past Management: The tree has been previously topped, which has led to structural weaknesses and decay. The topping was likely done to mitigate the effects of Thousand cankers disease.
- Limb Structure: The scaffold limbs appear over-extended, indicating potential instability.
- Past Incidents: There is evidence of large limb failures in the tree's canopy, which may have further compromised its structural integrity.

## Assessment:

- Structural Integrity: The presence of two large co-dominant stems poses an elevated risk of failure, especially given the previous topping and over-extended scaffold limbs. This structure increases the likelihood of splitting between stems.
- 2. Tree topping: It is widely regarded as harmful to tree health and structure. This practice results in the removal of large portions of the tree's canopy, disrupting its natural growth pattern and leading to a host of long-term issues. Firstly, topping creates large wounds that expose the tree to pests, diseases, and decay. The tree responds by rapidly regrowing new branches, often referred to as "water sprouts," which are weakly attached and prone to breakage. This weak growth leads to a denser canopy with branches that are more susceptible

	Basic Tree Risk Assessmen	t Fo	orr	n	11.0								
Client	ess/Tree location 4880 Jones Rd. Salem Or. Tree  pecies Black walnut E property in dbh 46" Height Ce	124		Tir	me_ 7 3	, ο ρ	m						
Addre	rss/Tree location 4880 Janes Rd, Salem Or Tree	no			_ Sheet _ i	of	<u>a</u>						
Tree s	pecies Black walnut E property in dbh 40 Height Ce	0	Cro	vn spi	read dia	15							
Asses	solls) Observe to total	usea	Visc	1	316 FF 9 ST	a con	W. C. Hall						
	Target Assessment		188										
			get zo		Occupancy	0-	lon 13						
jet ber		thin e	Target within 1xHt.	t thin	rate 1-rare	to I to							
Target	Target description	et w	Farge in 1	et w	2 – occasional 3 – frequent	ctica ve ta	trict						
-		Target within drip line	with	Target within 1.5 x Ht.	4 – constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction practical?						
1	Deich back home	X			2	No	No						
2	people in yard	X			2	100	VXV						
3	Market 11. God 1												
4													
	Site Factors												
Histor	y of failures Limb failure Usible Topograph	y Flat	Slop	e□ _	%	Aspect	t						
	nanges None♥ Grade change□ Site clearing□ Changed soil hydrology□ Root cuts□ Describe	s (c)	0.00										
	onditions Limited volume ☐ Saturated ☐ Shallow ☐ Compacted ☐ Pavement over roots ☐				mal								
	iling wind direction NE Common weather Strong winds □ Ice □ Snow □ Heavy rain D												
	Tree Health and Species Profile			LVE									
Vigor	Low □ Normal ☑ High □ Foliage None (seasonal) ☑ None (dead) □ Normal	% C	hloro	tic	% Ne	crotic_	%						
Pests	Abjotic	Mac											
Specie	Load Factors	VI COY	1	11 7 85									
Min al	exposure Protected Partial PFull Wind funneling	ve crow	m size	Sma	ПП Mediu	тΠΙ	arge						
	n density Sparse □ Normal ♥ Dense □ Interior branches Few □ Normal ♥ Dense □ Vines/												
	t or planned change in load factors recently to per		,										
	Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Fai	lure		94,13									
/	— Crown and Branches —	iuic					1						
						,	_ \						
	Unbalanced crown ♥ LCR ♥6 % Cracks □  Dead twigs/branches □ 75 % overall Max. dia. 2′′ Codominant ♥			-	Lightning da	mage I	_						
	Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Weak attachments Weak attachments												
	Nest hole% circ.												
	54	pranches present 🖫											
	Pruning history  Crown cleaned   Thinned □ Raised □ Dead/Missing bark □ Cankers/Gal			1/5/1	ood damage/								
	Meddeed in Topped year Libit tailed in	decay					-						
	Flush cuts Other Response growth						-						
	Main concern(s) previously topped, new tops have grow	01					-						
(	Load on defect N/A ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate 🗭 Significant ☐						_						
	Likelihood of failure   Improbable   Possible   Probable   Imminent						- ノ						
>	— Trunk — — Root				llar —		$\leq$						
	Dead/Missing bark ☐ Abnormal bark texture/color ☐ Collar buried/Not visible					rdling l	□ <i>\</i>						
	Codominant stems   Included bark □ Cracks □ Dead □ Decay	********											
	Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze ☐ Ooze ☐ Cavity				WIGSTII COTTI.								
					from trumk								
							_						
	Cavity/Nest hole % circ. Depth Poor taper ☐ Root plate lifting ☐ Lean ° Corrected?	50	ii wea	kness	Ц								
	Response growth  Main concern(s)  Response growth  Main concern(s)  Response growth	iech	ied collar										
	wain concern(s) that the second of the secon			-									
	Load on defect N/A ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☑ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A ☐	Mino	ÓK ro	Mode	rate Sign	nificant							
	Likelihood of failure		- CO										
/	Improbable Possible Probable Imminent Improbable Possib	e 🗆	Pro	bable	□ Imm	inent 🗆	<u>'</u>						
						Pag	elof?						

	_								Risk Cate	egor	izat	ion				,										
Į.										Likelihood							_									
mpe	Tree part							Target number	Target protection		Fail	ure		Impact					ure &			Coi	nseq	ces		
Condition number			Conditions of concern		Part size	Fall distance	Improbable			Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating of part (from Matrix 2)	
	new		1.10	alh		6"	65'	2	N	O	6	O	O	0	0	O	O	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	O	Low
1	1005		Weak Hackners		nds					Ō	C	O	O	Ó	Ô	O	O	O	O	O	O	Ō	0	O	O	
			40	Pecco / lic						Ō	K	O	O	0	O	0	O	Ô	Ö	O	0	O	Ō	0	O	
	codom stevns		holylosi			24"	65'	١	N	Ō	d	O	O	O	Ō	O	0	Ŏ		O	O	O	O	0	•	moded
2			included back		`					O	C	O	O	0	Ō	O	O	0	O	0	0	0	O	0	Ó	
			back							Ō	C	O	O	O	Ō	Ō	Ō	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
		$\neg$								Ō	C	O	O	O	Ō	Ō	O	O	0	O	0	O	O	O	O	
3										Ö			O	Ö	Ö	Ō	Ö	Ŏ	Ö	0	Ŏ	Ō	0	0	Ö	
										Ŏ	Č	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	
		$\dashv$								Ŏ	K	K	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	
4										Ŏ	K	K	K	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	
										Ŏ	K	K	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	
											10															
Mati	ix I . Likel	ihood	matr	ix.						_			+	-		+	+				-		+	+		
Likelihood						of Impacting Target						-	-		+	-		-	-			+	-			
		<b>Very</b> Unlik	the same of the sa		-	Medium y Likely			High Very likely	$\dashv$						1										
		Unlil				Somewhat likely			Likely	$\dashv$						1										
		Unlil							Somewhat like	ly		,	1			T							T			
Imp	robable	Unlil	kely	Unlik	cely		Unlikely		Unlikely				+	-		₽	+			+			+	+		
Matr	ix 2. Risk	rating	matr	ix.						_			4			-				-			-	-		
Likelihood of						-	ces of	- Indiana		_						L										
_	ure & Im	_	110811811110				Significant		+	_													L			
Very likely Likely		ly		Low Mode					Extreme High	-						1							+			
Somewhat likely		ikely			Lov				Moderate														No	orth		
Unlikely		1		Low	Lo	ow Low			Low								1									
										_				- 1			Ī									
Not	es, expla	anatio	ons, o	descriptio	ons					_							1				1					
														- 1			1				1					
										_				J			1				1					/
_							,			-			1													
Miti	gation o	ption	s S	he-top	7	cal	sle														R	lesio	lual	risk	Mo	derale
					`																					
													F	Residual risk												
																					F	lesio	dual	risk		
Ove	all tree	risk r	ating	Ġ.	· (Mo	derate		igh 🗆	Extreme 🗆				Wor	k pri	iorit	у	1 💆	1 2		3 E	] 4					
0ve	all resid	lual ri	isk	Low	□(Mo	derate	П н	igh 🛘	Extreme 🗆				Reco	omm	end	led	insp	ect	ion	inte	rval					
Data	<b>Ø</b> Final	□Pr	elimi	nary Adv	vanced	asses	sment	neede	ed Drivo 🗆 Yes-	Туре	e/Re	easo	n _													
Insp	ection lin	nitati	ons [	□None □	Visibili	ty 🗆	Access	□Vin	es <b>R</b> oot col	lar b	urie	ed D	escr	ibe _												