Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Date 2/8/2024 Time Sheet 1 of San Miguel ct. Address/Tree location Height 90 Crown spread dia. 50 Tree species Seguoia Assessor(s) Jones Tools used Phien, Tape m., Clinameta Time frame VV **Target Assessment** Target zone Target number Occupancy Target within 1 × Ht. Practical to move target? : within Restriction practical? rate 1.5 x Ht. **Target description** Target protection 1-rare 2 - occasional 3-frequent4 - constant Home None 3 **Site Factors** History of failures Topography Flat Slope

Slope

Maspect Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume ☐ Saturated ☐ Shallow ☐ Compacted ☐ Pavement over roots ☒ 3/11/1/18 Describe House foundation Prevailing wind direction N E Common weather Strong winds A Ice□ Snow□ Heavy rain □ Describe Valley Wintels Tree Health and Species Profile Vigor Low ☐ Normal 🕅 High 🗆 Foliage None (seasonal) None (dead) ☐ Normal <u>| (///)</u> % Chlorotic _____ % Necrotic Pests/Biotic Abiotic Species failure profile Branches II Trunk Roots Describe Profile to low loss in **Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☒ Wind funneling ☐ ___ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large 🛛 Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ Recent or expected change in load factors _ Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — Unbalanced crown Cracks Lightning damage □ Dead twigs/branches □ Max. dia. ___ % overall Codominant Included bark Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia. Weak attachments
Cavity/Nest hole _____% circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures

Similar branches present Pruning history Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Heartwood decay □ Reduced Topped Lion-tailed □ Flush cuts Response growth ____ Other ___ ____ Condition (s) of concern _ Fall Distance ___ Part Size ___ Part Size _____ Fall Distance ___ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A □ Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ —Trunk — — Roots and Root Collar — Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Dead/Missing bark Stem girdling □ Included bark Cracks Codominant stems Dead Conks/Mushrooms □ Decay Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Ooze Cavity ☐ % circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks ☐ Cut/Damaged roots ☐ Distance from trunk Cavity/Nest hole _____ % circ. Depth Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Lean _____° Corrected? _____ Response growth -Condition (s) of concern House foundation Response growth _____ Condition (s) of concern Fall Distance _____ Part Size ___ Part Size _ Fall Distance _ N/A Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A □ Load on defect Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☑ Imminent ☐

Risk Categorization Likelihood																								
							Likelihood												Г					
Target (Target number or description)									Fail	ure			Imp	act				& Im		Co	nseq	uen	ces	
			Tree	part			tion(s)	o o		Γ		-	T			U		Matrix	1)	H		_		
or descri	ption)			114.5		of co	ncern	babl	e e	ple	ent	8		E		<u>~</u>	what		kely	ible		cant		Risk rating
		- 1						Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	(from
11		\dashv						=	ā		느	>	3	≥	I	ס	Š	=	۶	ž	Σ	Si	s	Matrix 2)
Home		\dashv	Tree roots			damayis	19		L	X		_	_	_	X	_	_	X	\triangle	Ш		X		High
						foundation)
						(0 0)// (0 0)									2000									
																					OHIO CONT			
																		Г						
		\top			1													H	H		H			
		\dashv						H		-					Н	Н	H			\vdash	Н		Н	
								H	_	H	H	_	_		Н	Н	L		Н	L	Н			
	gra.				_					_			L		Ц		L				Ш			
		_													Ш									
Matrix I Likel	March 1 2 19 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																							
Matrix I. Likelihood matrix. Likelihood Likelihood of Impact																								
Likelihood of Failure					т —				-	+			+-	+		-	-			+	-			
Imminent	Very lo		Somewha			Medium High Likely Very likely								_										
Probable	Unlike	_	Unlik			omewhat likely Likely																		
Possible	Unlike	ly	Unlik			Unlikely Somewhat like				-	\top			1	\top			+			+	1		
Improbable	Unlike	ly	Unlik	ely		Unlikely	Unlikely			-	+			+	+		\vdash	+			+	+		
Matrix 2. Risk	rating r	natr	ix.							7,2	_			_								_		
Likelihood	of			Cons	eque	nces of Failur	e																	
Failure & Im	pact	Ne	legligible Minor			Significant	Severe			-					1									
Very like	ly		Low Moderate			High	Extreme			13 -	+			+	-		-	+			+-	+		
Likely Somewhat I	ikoly		Low	Mode		High Moderate	High Moderate														No	orth		
Unlikely			Low	Lov		Low	Low					1			1									
		7			Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the							ı			-				1					
Notes, expla	nation	s, d	escriptio	ns								1			1									
-Tree	ruot	S	imp	actin	6	home	foundatio	h																
	t po	400	ins p	elfor	mea	Tree	may be																	
unsta	ble-	-					/								1									
																						dance		
Mitigation o	ptions	. 1	-1	7	100	e a stu													C/100					3
							<i>m</i> -p									-				esid			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Service Commence of the Commen
2							***************************************												_					
-													-				-							
26.00										e e						-			_ K	esiü	udi	ıısk		
Overall tree				Low			High 151 Ex									DOM OTHER DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF THE P		•	STATE OF THE PARTY					
Overall resid			/	£ Low			High □ Ex									100		inte	gh.	2		,	ľ	
							ed □No ෛYes-										ep	190		/ 1	-01	indi	ati	on
Inspection li	mitatio	ns [□None □]Visibili	ty 🗆	Access □Vir	nes □Root col	lar b	urie	d D	escr	ibe												

Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form San Wignel Ct NE, Salen Tree no. # 2 Sheet Z of 4 Address/Tree location Height 100 Crown spread dia. Tree species Sequoia _____ dbh___ Tools used Phone, tape dinometrime frame lyv Tim Jones Assessor(s) **Target Assessment** Target zone **Farget** number Occupancy Target within 1 x Ht. within Restriction practical? rate 1-rare 2-occasional Target description Target protection 3 - frequent 4 - constant Home & out building None 3 **Site Factors** History of failures _ Topography Flat Slope % Aspect Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots 5 50 % Describe Foundation 5 1 Don't Prevailing wind direction _____ Common weather Strong winds Dice Snow Heavy rain Describe Valley conditions Tree Health and Species Profile Vigor Low ☐ Normal ☒ High ☐ Foliage None (seasonal) □ None (dead) ☐ Normal / 100% Chlorotic % Pests/Biotic **Abiotic Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☒ Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ ____ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large 🛣 Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ Recent or expected change in load factors _ Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure - Crown and Branches -LCR Unbalanced crown 🗖 Cracks ______Lightning damage □ Dead twigs/branches □ % overall Max. dia. Codominant Included bark Broken/Hangers Number___ Max. dia. Weak attachments
Cavity/Nest hole _____% circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures □ _____ Similar branches present □ **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Heartwood decay □___ Reduced Topped Lion-tailed □ Flush cuts Other_ Response growth ____ Phototropic Condition (s) of concern ____ Part Size _ Part Size _____ Fall Distance ___ Fall Distance -Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ — Trunk — — Roots and Root Collar — Dead/Missing bark □ Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Stem girdling Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay □ Conks/Mushrooms □ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Ooze Cavity \(\square\) \(\square\) circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks □ Cut/Damaged roots □ Distance from trunk _____ Cavity/Nest hole % circ. Depth Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Lean 5 ° Corrected? Response growth -Condition (s) of concern Structure Response growth _____ Condition(s) of concern Fall Distance -Part Size ___ Fall Distance _ Part Size ___ Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A 🗖 Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable 💆 Imminent ☐ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

							Risk Cat	egor	izat	ion															
		T					I					Likel	ihod	od					Π						
									Fail	ure			lmp	act				& Im		Со	nseq	ces			
Target n			Tree	part			tion(s)	a		Π	Г	_	p		Г	(f		/atrix	1)				Н		
or description)			nce	Parc		of co	ncern	Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	~	Medium	ų,	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating (from	
		_				He may no common to the		트	Po	P	트	Ve	Low	ž	High	5	So	Ě	\ \ \ \	ş	Z	Sig	Se	Matrix 2)	
Structa	185	_	Roots			Foundation				X					Λ			X		L		X		High	
			No			1 Duniace		L																	
	Rads Foundation domage																								
												H		Н				Н			Н	_	Н		
					+			H	-	-	H	_	H	H	H		_		_	H		_			
																L				Н					
																				Ц					
																	10.35								
The state of the s																					П				
Matrix I. Like	Matrix I. Likelihood matrix.															+-+									
Likelihood	are ye			Likelih	ood o	of Impact					+			+	_		_	_			_				
of Failure	Very I	_	Lov			Medium	High																		
Imminent	Unlik		Somewha			Likely Very likely								T											
Probable Possible	Unlik		Unlik Unlik		Som	newhat likely Unlikely	Likely Somewhat lik	oly			+			+	\dashv		+	+			+	-		-	
Improbable	Unlike		Unlik			Unlikely	Unlikely	CIY																	
Matrix 2. Risk				,			,	_																	
		maci		Cons	enne	nces of Failur	0				1			1	_										
Likelihood Failure & In		Ne	gligible	Min		Significant					+			+	+		+-	+		-	+	-	-	-	
Very like			Low	Mode		High	Extreme			-				_	_		_	_			_				
Likely			Low	Mode	rate	High	High				1		E	1	-		1				1			1 1	
Somewhat			Low	Lov	N	Moderate	Moderat	е													N	orth			
Unlikel	У		Low	Lov	N	Low	Low																		
Notes, expla	anatio	ns, d	lescriptio	ns																					
	- 1			./.	-	111	2 1												1					1	
	-		impac	- 1		oundation stable	n Part												1						
Prani	19	ma	y ca	47C	WI	21000	1100	, <u></u>											1						
															,										
Mitigation of	ptions	5																						1	
1. Re	move	2	Tre	e t	5	MAD													_ F	Resid	lual	risk		0	
						•		ini ili ini anara											_ F	Resid	lual	risk	·		
3																			_ F	Resid	lual	risk	·		
4													-						_ F	Resid	lual	risk	·		
Overall tree	risk ra	iting		Low	<i>,</i> \Box	Moderate □	l High 🗹 E	xtren	ne 🗆]															
Overall resid							l High □ E				Rec	com	mer	nded	d ins	pec	tion	inte	erva	ıl					
			1				ed □No □Yes									-				171	A AA A	de	160)	
Inspection li	mitatio	ne l	TNone F	Wisihili	tv \square	Access MVii	nes \square Root co	llar b	ourie	d L	escr	ihe	1	,			P	v 1		16	# F F	41.0			
mapection il		L	-INDITE L	- v 131DIII	-y -	, .ccc33 LIVII	.55 =1.000 00		- will		-501	.~-													

Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form San miguel of NE, Address/Tree location 4828 Sheet 3 of Tree species Seguoia 47 Height 90 Crown spread dia. 30 Tools used Phone, tape, Clinometerime frame 14v Assessor(s) Tim Jones **Target Assessment** Target zone Target number Occupancy Practical to move target? within within Restriction practical? Target withi 1 x Ht. 1-rare 2-occasional Target description Target protection 3 - frequent 4 - constant Homes & outbuilding NO 3 4 **Site Factors** History of failures Topography Flat Slope ≤ % Aspect Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots 40-50 % Describe Structure Gaundation Prevailing wind direction NE Common weather Strong winds Ice Snow Heavy rain Describe Winter Conditions Tree Health and Species Profile Vigor Low □ Normal ☒ High □ Foliage None (seasonal) □ None (dead) □ Normal | W % Chlorotic % Pests/Biotic_ Abiotic limb 1055 in sever weather Species failure profile Branches ☒ Trunk ☐ Roots ☐ Describe____ Drone to **Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium 🛣 Large ☐ Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal ☒ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ Recent or expected change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure - Crown and Branches -LCR ____ ______Lightning damage □ Unbalanced crown Cracks % overall Max. dia.__ Dead twigs/branches □ Codominant Included bark Number_ Broken/Hangers Max. dia. Weak attachments
Cavity/Nest hole % circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures

Similar branches present Pruning history Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Conks Heartwood decay □ Reduced Topped □ Lion-tailed Flush cuts Other ____ Response growth ____ Dhototrapic _____ Condition(s) of concern ____ Part Size Fall Distance ___ Part Size __ Fall Distance -Load on defect N/A Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ N/A 🗆 Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ —Trunk — — Roots and Root Collar — Dead/Missing bark □ Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Stem girdling □ Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Decay Conks/Mushrooms □ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Ooze Cavity \(\square \) % circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks ☐ Cut/Damaged roots ☐ Distance from trunk Cavity/Nest hole _____ % circ. Depth Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Lean _____° Corrected? ______ Response growth -Condition (s) of concern Structure foundation Response growth ___ Condition (s) of concern _____ Fall Distance __ Part Size ___ Fall Distance _____ Part Size ___ Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Load on defect N/A 🍱 Minor □ Moderate□ Significant □ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☒ Imminent ☐

1 4							Risk Cat	egor	izat	ion														
													Like	lihod	od									
Target (Target number or description)		-							Fail	ure			lmp	act				& Im		Со	Consequences			
			Tree part				tion(s) ncern	Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating (from Matrix 2)
Out building			Tree roots			foundation				X	F				X			X			X			Mod
																		Г	Г					
					_																			
								L			L													
																		L						
					_	nomen i mailleilleille									Ц			L						
		4							Ц	L		Ц			Ц									
														Ц					Ц					
Matrix I. Likel	lihood m	natri	ix.								-	_		+	+		-	+			+	-		
Likelihood																								
of Failure	Very lo	_	Lov			Medium	High												AUVERTRALIES					
Imminent Probable	Unlike Unlike	-	Somewhat likely Unlikely So			Likely Very like omewhat likely Likely					\top			1	1			\top				1		
Possible	Unlike	_	Unlik			Unlikely Somewhat like					\dashv	-		+	1		-	+	_		+	+		
Improbable	Unlike	ly	Unlik	kely		Unlikely	Unlikely				+			+	\dashv		-	-	_		_	4		
Matrix 2. Risk	rating n	natr	ix.								_			_			_						antenante (L)	
Likelihood				Cons	eque	nces of Failur	e																	
Failure & Im		Ne	gligible	Min		Significant								T										
Very like Likely	ly		Low	Mode Mode		High High	Extreme High			-	-			+			+-	-		1000		+		
Somewhat	likely	-	Low	Lov	_	Moderate															No	orth		
Unlikel	У		Low	Lov	V	Low	Low								-									
Notes, expla	anation	s, d	lescriptic	ons																				
foundar	lon.		11	runing		building	ke Tree	115																
unstab	ie														-								4	
Mitigation of	ptions	ź	Star	n D	Catu	nd													R	esid	ual	risk	-	6
2.				1)																			
3																								
4														Mar de					_ R	esid	ual	risk		
Overall tree	risk rat	ing		Low		Moderate 🛱	High □ Ex	trem	ne 🗆															
Overall resid	dual risk	k	None D	Low			High □ Ex				Rec	omi	men	ided	lins	pec	tion	inte	erval					
Data ☐ Final	□ Preli	imir	nary Adv	vanced	asses	sment need	ed □No ØYes-	Туре	e/Re	aso	n	F	105	ine	13	F	er	urt	jan.	The				
							nes □Root col						_				- 1						AK ILLAND	

Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Client David & Pam Kaib

Address/Tree location 4828 San Muguel Ct NE | Salem Tree no. #4 Sheet _ dbh_36" Height 90 Crown spread dia. 30

Tools used Phone, tape, Chromete-Time frame Lyv Tree species Sequoia Tim - lines Assessor(s) **Target Assessment** Target zone Target number Occupancy Practical to move target? Target within 1x Ht. within rate Restriction practical? **Target description** Target protection Target \ 2 - occasional Target 1 3 - frequent 4 - constant Home None 2 3 4 **Site Factors** Topography Flat Slope \\ \mathbb{G} \\ Slope \\ \mathbb{G} \\ \mathbb{S} \\ \mathbb{A} \\ \mathbb{S} \\ \mathbb{E} \\ \mathbb{E Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume ☐ Saturated ☐ Shallow ☐ Compacted ☐ Pavement over roots ☐ 15 % Describe found aften 5 Prevailing wind direction NE Common weather Strong winds ☐ Ice ☐ Snow ☐ Heavy rain ☐ Describe Winter Condition 5 Tree Health and Species Profile Vigor Low □ Normal 🕅 High □ Foliage None (seasonal) □ None (dead) ☐ Normal <u>/////</u> % Chlorotic _____ % Pests/Biotic Abiotic Species failure profile Branches

Trunk □ Roots □ Describe | 1005 Load Factors Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial K Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ ______ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium 🗷 Large ☐ Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal ☑ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ Recent or expected change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure - Crown and Branches -Cracks □ _____ Lightning damage □ Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches □ % overall Max. dia. ___ Codominant 🗆 _____ Included bark Broken/Hangers Number ___ Max. dia. Weak attachments
Cavity/Nest hole ____% circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures

Similar branches present **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Thinned Raised Conks Heartwood decay □ Lion-tailed Reduced Topped Flush cuts Other _ Response growth ____ Growth _____ Condition (s) of concern ___ Part Size -Fall Distance __ Part Size ____ Fall Distance -Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Significant ☐ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ -Trunk -- Roots and Root Collar -Abnormal bark texture/color □ Dead/Missing bark □ Collar buried/Not visible □ Depth Stem girdling □ Codominant stems Included bark Cracks □ Dead Conks/Mushrooms □ Decay □ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Ooze Cavity ☐ % circ. Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks ☐ Cut/Damaged roots ☐ Distance from trunk Cavity/Nest hole _____ % circ. Depth Poor taper Root plate lifting □ Soil weakness Lean _____° Corrected? ______ Response growth -Condition (s) of concern Structure Response growth _____ Condition (s) of concern _____ Fall Distance _____ Part Size __ Fall Distance -Part Size ____ Minor ☐ Moderate☐ Significant ☐ N/A 🕱 Load on defect N/A 🗆 Load on defect Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Likelihood of failure Improbable

☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

Risk Categorization Likelihood																									
T									Likelihood											Г					
Target (Target number or description)									Fail	ure			Imp	act				& Im		Со	nseq	uen	ces		
			Tree	e part			ition(s) ncern	ole	Γ	Γ.	4						T	Viutrix	Ĺ			4		Diek	
or descri	ptionj							Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low		in		cely	Somewhat	>	Very likely	Negligible	r	fican	ē	Risk rating	
									Poss	Prok	Im	Very	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Som	Likely	Very	Negl	Minor	Significant	Severe	(from Matrix 2)	
Out building			Rat	-		0 14		X				X				X					X			LOW	
			16007	>		foundation						-				ť								12/2	
																	T		П		П				
								Г								r									
																	r								
								Г									1		H						
													Г			-	H		H		Н		-		
								H		H		Н					H	H	H	H	Н				
		VA.							H	H		H	H	Н	H	-	_	H	Н	-	H				
	mg ma y				+			-	Н	H	H	H	H	Н	H	H	H	H	Н	H					
								H		-	H	H	H	\vdash	H	H	H	H	Н						
								H	H	H	Н	H	H	H	Н		H		Н	Н		_	-		
																			Ш						
Matrix I. Likelihood matrix.															-										
Likelihood				Likelih	ood c	of Impact																			
of Failure	Very I	_	Lov			Medium	High																		
Imminent Probable	Unlike		Somewhat likely Unlikely So			Likely Very likely omewhat likely Likely												1			T	7			
Possible	Unlike		Unlik	S - 100 P		Omewhat likely Likely Unlikely Somewhat like					+			+	+		-	+			+	+		-	
Improbable	Unlik	_	Unlik			Unlikely Unlikely					4			1	4		_	_			_	_			
Matrix 2. Risk	rating	matr	ix.																						
Likelihood	of			Cons	equei	nces of Failur	e			-				T											
Failure & Im	pact	Ne	gligible	Min	or	Significant	Severe			8)-	1			\dagger	1			+			+	\forall			
Very like	ly		Low	Mode	The same of the sa	High			-	-			+	+		-	+			+-	+		-		
Likely Somewhat I	ikaly		Low	Mode		High Moderate	High Moderate														No	orth			
Unlikely			Low	Lov		Low	Low					ı			1										
Notes avale								_				- 1							/						
Notes, expla	mation	is, a	escriptio	ons																					
Concer		is	wher		her	trees																			
remo	-	ì	+ W			expused	40	_																	
Weat	n c		refore		+	Was 1	Drotected	_																	
Mitigation o			161010																						
1. R			R	sto	nn	D GIM	A												R	esid	ual ı	risk	/	3	
2					1																				
3																			_ R	Residual risk					
4																			R	esid	ual	risk	-		
Overall tree	risk ra	ting		Low	英	Moderate □	High □ Ex	trem	e 🗆																
Overall residual risk None 🛱 Low 🗆 Moderate 🗆 High 🗆 Extreme 🗆 Recommended inspection interval												P. (1988)													
			Х.				ed □No □Yes-									_									
							nes □Root coll																		